



Appendix B. Numbers of Full-time Virtual Schools and Students They Serve, by State



2013-14 Number of Full-time Virtual Schools by State



2013-14 Number of Students Enrolled in Full-time Virtual Schools by State

Appendix C. Virtual Schools in the Inventory and Characteristics of Students They Serve

State	School Name	City	EMO Name or Independent	School Descriptive Information								Ethnicity of Students - Percentage by Ethnic Category -						
				Year Founded	From Grade	To Grade	Charter School/District School	2010-11 Enrollment	2011-12 Enrollment	2012-13 Enrollment	2013-14 Enrollment	Am. Indian	Asian	Hispanic	Black	White	Pacific Islander	Mixed Race
AK	Fast Track Virtual (formerly Ketchikan Correspondence)	Ketchikan	Independent	2009	KG	12	Charter	77	91	71	84	23.08%	2.20%	1.10%	0.00%	73.63%	0.00%	0.00%
AK	Alaska Virtual Academy	Wrangell	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	08	Charter	32	81	89	76	11.11%	2.47%	11.11%	3.70%	61.73%	2.47%	7.41%
AK	Fairbanks BEST	Fairbanks	K12 Inc.	NA	KG	12	District	210	265	267	268	6.79%	1.51%	4.91%	2.26%	74.72%	0.38%	9.43%
AR	Arkansas Virtual Academy Elementary	Little Rock	K12 Inc.	2002	KG	06	Charter	433	427	371	822	0.70%	1.41%	3.28%	7.96%	86.65%	0.00%	0.00%
AR	Arkansas Virtual Academy Middle (Arkansas Virtual)	Little Rock	K12 Inc.	2008	07	08	Charter	67	73	128	512	2.74%	0.00%	6.85%	6.85%	83.56%	0.00%	0.00%
AZ	Hope High School Online	Glendale	Blueprint Education	2003	09	12	Charter	75	87	103	151	1.15%	21.84%	21.84%	6.90%	48.28%	0.00%	0.00%
AZ	Arizona Connections Academy	Mesa	Connections Academy	2005	KG	12	Charter	1,339	1485	1,558	1,874	2.42%	1.21%	20.94%	3.50%	66.94%	0.27%	4.71%
AZ	Humanities and Sciences Academy Arizona	Tempe	Humanities and Sciences Academy	2003	KG	12	Charter	46	40	40	40	50.00%	0.00%	25.00%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
AZ	Arizona Online Instruction (formerly TAPBI)	Tempe	Independent	2010	09	12	District	.	16	16	16	0.00%	6.25%	12.50%	6.25%	75.00%	0.00%	0.00%
AZ	Chandler Online Academy	Chandler	Independent	2011	07	12	District	.	42	42	70	7.14%	0.00%	17.14%	5.71%	70.00%	0.00%	0.00%
AZ	Colorado River Union High School District-online	Fort Mohave	Independent	2012	09	12	District	.	.	30	62	0.00%	0.00%	25.00%	0.00%	75.00%	0.00%	0.00%
AZ	EdOptions AOI Program (EdOptions High School Online)	Tempe	Independent	2010	09	12	Charter	.	31	206	337	16.13%	0.00%	45.16%	12.90%	25.81%	0.00%	0.00%
AZ	Florence Virtual Academy	Florence	Independent	2011	06	12	District	16	55	87	51	0.00%	0.00%	25.45%	5.45%	69.09%	0.00%	0.00%
AZ	Gilbert Global Academy High School	Gilbert	Independent	2011	09	12	District	.	27	68	37	3.70%	3.70%	22.22%	3.70%	66.67%	0.00%	0.00%
AZ	Havasonline	Lake Havasu	Independent	2009	06	12	District	14	11	11	40	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
AZ	International Charter School of Arizona, Inc. (closed)	Phoenix	Independent	2011	5	12	Charter	.	.	33	24	4.17%	0.00%	29.17%	12.50%	54.17%	0.00%	.
AZ	iSchool2020	Yuma	Independent	2011	07	12	Charter	.	54	90	20	0.00%	3.70%	31.48%	7.41%	55.56%	0.00%	1.85%
AZ	Marana Distance Learning	Marana	Independent	2010	KG	12	District	62	47	57	49	4.26%	2.13%	23.40%	2.13%	61.70%	2.13%	4.26%
AZ	Maricopa Online	Maricopa	Independent	2011	05	12	District	.	81	98	98	8.64%	2.47%	37.04%	20.99%	29.63%	0.00%	1.23%
AZ	Mesa Distance Learning Program	Mesa	Independent	2010	KG	12	District	364	369	321	314	3.52%	1.90%	26.02%	1.63%	64.50%	0.00%	2.44%
AZ	Mingus Online Academy	Cottonwood	Independent	2012	N	N	District	.	.	19	13	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	NA
AZ	Payson Virtual Academy	Payson	Independent	2011	07	12	District	.	11	15	21	9.09%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	90.91%	0.00%	0.00%
AZ	Peoria Ecampus	PEORIA	Independent	2010	KG	12	District	16	18	18	18	0.00%	0.00%	5.56%	11.11%	83.33%	0.00%	0.00%
AZ	Pillar Academy Online	Phoenix	Independent	2011	09	12	Charter	.	12	27	20	0.00%	0.00%	50.00%	0.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%
AZ	Primavera-Online	Chandler	Independent	2003	06	12	Charter	2,990	3,742	3,739	3,566	3.42%	1.20%	30.55%	5.02%	57.11%	0.48%	2.22%
AZ	Pvonline	Phoenix	Independent	2011	KG	12	District	.	53	56	32	3.77%	0.00%	24.53%	1.89%	67.92%	0.00%	1.89%
AZ	Red Rock Academy	Sedona	Independent	2011	09	12	District	.	11	18	25	9.09%	0.00%	9.09%	0.00%	72.73%	0.00%	9.09%
AZ	Rsd Computerized Plus High School	Phoenix	Independent	2007	09	12	Charter	.	181	137	51	3.87%	0.00%	43.65%	6.08%	44.75%	1.10%	0.55%
AZ	RSD Computerized Plus Online Program	Phoenix	Independent	2010	09	12	Charter	.	46	43	51	4.35%	4.35%	26.09%	8.70%	54.35%	2.17%	0.00%
AZ	San Pedro Valley Online Academy	Beson	Independent	2010	05	12	District	32	15	13	13	0.00%	0.00%	26.67%	0.00%	73.33%	0.00%	0.00%
AZ	Scottsdale Online Learning	Scottsdale	Independent	2012	KG	12	District	.	.	36	81	0.00%	0.00%	17.28%	6.17%	70.37%	0.00%	6.17%
AZ	Sunnyside Online Success Academy	Tucson	Independent	2012	9	12	District	.	.	16	31	0.00%	0.00%	87.10%	0.00%	12.90%	0.00%	0.00%
AZ	Taylion Virtual Academy of Arizona	Glendale	Independent	2010	KG	08	Charter	.	10	11	10	0.00%	0.00%	20.00%	0.00%	80.00%	0.00%	0.00%
AZ	Tusd - Distance Learning Program	Tucson	Independent	2010	06	12	District	77	75	187	109	1.33%	0.00%	38.67%	4.00%	54.67%	0.00%	1.33%
AZ	Vail Digital Learning Program	Tucson	Independent	2011	KG	12	District	.	20	91	82	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	5.00%	90.00%	5.00%	0.00%
AZ	Wmi On Line	Show Low	Independent	2011	09	12	District	.	9	9	18	0.00%	0.00%	11.11%	0.00%	88.89%	0.00%	0.00%
AZ	Yuma Online Distance Academy	Yuma	Independent	2012	09	12	District	.	.	23	12	0.00%	0.00%	16.67%	0.00%	83.33%	0.00%	0.00%
AZ	Leading Edge Online Academy	Gilbert	Lead Charter Schools	2012	9	12	District	.	.	23	34	5.88%	0.00%	14.71%	5.88%	64.71%	0.00%	8.82%
AZ	E-institute - Avondale	Avondale	Learning Matters Educational	2012	09	12	Charter	.	8	56	56	0.00%	25.00%	62.50%	0.00%	12.50%	0.00%	0.00%
AZ	E-Institute at Acoma	Surprise	Learning Matters Educational	2003	09	12	Charter	167	145	127	126	0.00%	0.00%	31.72%	5.52%	60.00%	0.00%	2.76%
AZ	E-institute At Union Hills	Phoenix	Learning Matters Educational	2000	09	12	Charter	181	139	156	181	2.16%	0.00%	32.37%	2.16%	59.71%	0.00%	3.60%
AZ	E-Institute Charter High School at Buckeye	Buckeye	Learning Matters Educational	2011	09	12	Charter	30	82	71	86	0.00%	1.22%	62.20%	3.66%	31.71%	1.22%	0.00%
AZ	E-Institute Charter High School at Grovers	Glendale	Learning Matters Educational	2009	09	12	Charter	36	28	35	41	0.00%	0.00%	21.43%	0.00%	78.57%	0.00%	0.00%
AZ	E-Institute Charter High School at Metro	Phoenix	Learning Matters Educational	2008	09	12	Charter	96	129	126	186	10.85%	0.78%	60.47%	3.10%	19.38%	1.55%	3.88%
AZ	Taylion Virtual High School of Arizona	Glendale	Learning Matters Educational	2010	09	12	Charter	.	28	82	100	0.00%	0.00%	46.43%	3.57%	46.43%	0.00%	3.57%
AZ	Leona Advanced Virtual Academy	Tempe	Leona Group, LLC, The	2010	07	12	Charter	0	31	45	45	9.68%	3.23%	32.26%	12.90%	38.71%	0.00%	3.23%
AZ	Mercury Online Academy of Arizona	Phoenix	Mosaica Education, Inc.	2010	KG	08	Charter	.	47	88	88	0.00%	2.13%	25.53%	8.51%	48.94%	0.00%	14.89%
AZ	Mosaica Online High School of Arizona (opened in 2011)	Phoenix	Mosaica Education, Inc.	2011	9	12	Charter	.	.	28	29	17.24%	0.00%	17.24%	10.34%	55.17%	0.00%	0.00%
AZ	Mosaica Online of Arizona (opened in 2011)	Phoenix	Mosaica Education, Inc.	2011	K	8	Charter	.	.	.	117	0.00%	0.00%	7.69%	6.84%	85.47%	0.00%	0.00%
AZ	Pinnacle Virtual High School Tempe West	Tempe	Pinnacle Education, Inc.	2003	06	12	Charter	602	524	509	239	3.82%	2.86%	30.73%	6.68%	55.73%	0.00%	0.19%
AZ	Arizona Virtual Academy	Phoenix	PPEP and Affiliates	2003	KG	12	Charter	4,442	4,802	4,685	4,536	2.79%	1.21%	20.53%	5.06%	66.95%	0.46%	3.00%
AZ	Sequoia Choice School Arizona Distance Learning School	Mesa	Sequoia Choice Schools, LLLP	1998	KG	12	Charter	627	618	513	576	9.22%	1.62%	15.70%	2.91%	69.09%	0.81%	0.65%
AZ	Life Skills of Arizona Online Education Academy	Phoenix	White Hat Management	1999	09	12	Charter	.	.	98	129	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	NA

State	School Name	City	EMO Name or Independent	School Descriptive Information								Ethnicity of Students - Percentage by Ethnic Category -							
				Year Founded	From Grade	To Grade	Charter School/District School	2010-11 Enrollment	2011-12 Enrollment	2012-13 Enrollment	2013-14 Enrollment	Am. Indian	Asian	Hispanic	Black	White	Pacific Islander	Mixed Race	
CA	California (Southern) Connections Academy (former	Aliso Viejo	Connections Academy	2004	KG	12	Charter	1,267	1593	1,843	2,106	0.13%	4.14%	28.37%	9.04%	45.70%	0.25%	12.37%	
CA	Central California Connections Academy	Visalia	Connections Academy	2006	KG	12	Charter	176	218	257	313	1.38%	4.59%	35.78%	2.29%	46.33%	0.46%	9.17%	
CA	Golden Valley Virtual Charter	Ventura	Golden Valley Charter Schools	2009	KG	12	Charter	581	48	668	768	0.00%	6.25%	33.33%	4.17%	56.25%	0.00%	0.00%	
CA	Clovis Online School (Clovis Online Charter)	Clovis	Independent	2009	07	12	Charter	74	-9	359	370	1.08%	4.59%	36.22%	2.97%	51.89%	0.54%	2.70%	
CA	Dunlap Leadership Academy	Dunlap	Independent	2008	09	12	Charter	61	49	61	60	4.08%	2.04%	44.90%	2.04%	46.94%	0.00%	0.00%	
CA	EDUHS Virtual Academy at Shenandoah	El Dorado	Independent	2002	09	12	Charter	53	35	45	63	0.00%	5.71%	5.71%	0.00%	88.57%	0.00%	0.00%	
CA	Tehama eLearning Academy (TeLA)	Red Bluff	Independent	2002	KG	12	Charter	124	141	121	121	0.00%	0.00%	11.35%	1.42%	82.98%	0.00%	4.26%	
CA	Ihigh Virtual Academy	San Diego	Independent	2011	09	12	District	.	58	49	49	1.72%	3.45%	18.97%	6.90%	58.62%	1.72%	8.62%	
CA	Juniper Ridge Virtual Academy Charter	Terro	Independent	2008	KG	12	Charter	68	55	130	133	3.64%	1.82%	1.82%	3.64%	76.36%	0.00%	12.73%	
CA	Los Angeles County Online High School	Palmdale	Independent	2007	09	12	Charter	696	403	528	619	0.50%	3.23%	32.75%	10.92%	28.78%	0.25%	23.57%	
CA	National University Academy	Vista	Independent	2010	06	12	Charter	.	398	209	117	1.76%	3.02%	51.01%	3.52%	35.43%	0.00%	5.28%	
CA	Rai Online Charter	Rainbow	Independent	2004	KG	12	Charter	165	156	173	173	2.56%	4.49%	35.90%	7.69%	49.36%	0.00%	0.00%	
CA	Riverside Virtual	Riverside	Independent	2009	KG	12	District	68	97	130	133	2.06%	2.06%	32.99%	13.40%	46.39%	2.06%	1.03%	
CA	San Diego Virtual (Move Charter)	Chula Vista	Independent	2010	KG	12	Charter	1	70	137	196	0.00%	1.43%	28.57%	4.29%	62.86%	2.86%	0.00%	
CA	Sky Mountain Charter	Placerville	Innovative Education Manage	2007	KG	12	Charter	1,640	1749	1,881	2,032	0.86%	4.57%	22.87%	1.72%	65.07%	0.29%	4.63%	
CA	California Connections Academy @Ripon	Ripon	K12 Inc.	2012	K	8	Charter	.	.	203	513	0.00%	7.48%	26.77%	7.09%	41.93%	0.00%	16.73%	
CA	California Virtual Academy at Fresno	Fresno	K12 Inc.	2012	K	8	Charter	.	.	637	627	2.87%	3.03%	29.67%	7.18%	55.98%	0.80%	0.48%	
CA	California Virtual Academy at Jamestown	Simi Valley	K12 Inc.	2002	KG	12	Charter	263	294	168	155	4.08%	3.40%	17.01%	2.38%	63.27%	0.00%	9.86%	
CA	California Virtual Academy at Kings	Simi Valley	K12 Inc.	2002	KG	12	Charter	781	872	585	558	2.06%	2.64%	19.50%	4.82%	63.88%	0.34%	6.77%	
CA	California Virtual Academy at Los Angeles	Simi Valley	K12 Inc.	2006	KG	12	Charter	4,375	4897	3,874	3,913	0.96%	5.98%	19.50%	16.72%	45.78%	0.55%	10.50%	
CA	California Virtual Academy at Los Angeles High	Simi Valley	K12 Inc.	2011	10	12	Charter	.	17	17	149	0.00%	0.00%	29.41%	11.76%	47.06%	11.76%	0.00%	
CA	California Virtual Academy at Maricopa	Simi Valley	K12 Inc.	2012	K	8	Charter	.	.	1,433	1,393	1.08%	5.60%	28.21%	12.35%	52.48%	0.00%	0.29%	
CA	California Virtual Academy at San Diego	Simi Valley	K12 Inc.	2002	KG	12	Charter	2,435	2794	3,079	3,091	1.22%	7.05%	17.54%	8.23%	55.37%	0.72%	9.88%	
CA	California Virtual Academy at San Joaquin	Simi Valley	K12 Inc.	2007	KG	12	Charter	417	559	1,512	1,596	1.43%	7.87%	19.14%	11.45%	54.03%	0.89%	5.19%	
CA	California Virtual Academy at San Mateo	Simi Valley	K12 Inc.	2005	KG	12	Charter	1,020	1246	792	805	0.56%	13.48%	16.21%	15.89%	43.98%	1.04%	8.83%	
CA	California Virtual Academy at Santa Ysabel	Simi Valley	K12 Inc.	2011	09	12	Charter	0	26	102	102	0.00%	7.69%	23.08%	7.69%	61.54%	0.00%	0.00%	
CA	California Virtual Academy at Sonoma	Simi Valley	K12 Inc.	2004	KG	12	Charter	362	1159	1,155	1,320	1.47%	7.42%	9.75%	13.72%	58.24%	1.29%	8.11%	
CA	California Virtual Academy at Sutter	Simi Valley	K12 Inc.	2006	KG	12	Charter	603	687	735	821	1.16%	5.68%	9.46%	13.68%	62.15%	0.73%	7.13%	
CA	iQ Academy California—Los Angeles	Rowland Heights	K12 Inc.	2010	KG	12	Charter	27	319	342	401	1.57%	5.96%	42.01%	20.38%	24.76%	0.63%	4.70%	
CA	Southern California Online Academy	Lake Elsinore	K12 Inc.	2011	KG	12	Charter	.	331	316	341	0.30%	1.51%	31.12%	7.55%	55.29%	0.91%	3.32%	
CA	Mercury On-line Academy of Southern California (cl	Wildomar	Mosaica Education, Inc.	2009	KG	10	Charter	195	420	157	89	0.24%	0.71%	39.05%	29.05%	22.62%	0.00%	8.33%	
CA	Pivot Charter School - North Valley (closed 2014)	Santa Rosa	Roads Education Organization	2012	06	12	Charter	2	15	45	52								
CA	Pivot Charter School - Riverside County	Nuevo	Roads Education Organization	2011	KG	12	Charter	0	12	14	7	8.33%	0.00%	33.33%	8.33%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
CA	Pivot Charter School - San Diego	San Marcos	Roads Education Organization	2010	06	12	Charter	45	78	113	118	3.85%	5.13%	32.05%	2.56%	56.41%	0.00%	0.00%	
CA	Pivot Online Charter - North Bay	Santa Rosa	Roads Education Organization	2009	KG	12	Charter	30	30	85	137	6.67%	6.67%	20.00%	3.33%	56.67%	0.00%	6.67%	
CO	Colorado Connections Academy	Englewood	Connections Academy	2002	K	12	District	.	.	1,534	2,161	0.85%	1.11%	19.62%	3.46%	68.58%	0.26%	6.13%	
CO	Provost Academy Colorado (Colorado Provost Acade	Greenwood Village	Edison Learning	2010	09	12	Charter	.	391	309	338	2.56%	1.28%	27.62%	8.70%	59.34%	0.51%	0.00%	
CO	70 Online	Pueblo	K12 Inc.	2011	KG	12	District	.	59	72	96	0.00%	0.00%	25.42%	0.00%	72.88%	0.00%	1.69%	
CO	Academy Online High School	Colorado Springs	Independent	2011	06	12	District	.	32	33	44	0.00%	6.25%	9.38%	0.00%	84.38%	0.00%	0.00%	
CO	ACHIEVE Online	Colorado Springs	Independent	2011	KG	12	District	.	193	196	230	1.04%	1.04%	24.35%	7.77%	60.10%	0.00%	5.70%	
CO	APS Online	Aurora	Independent	2011	09	12	District	.	184	121	152	1.09%	3.26%	40.22%	20.11%	29.35%	1.09%	4.89%	
CO	Boulder Universal	Boulder	Independent	2011	KG	12	District	.	125	154	136	0.00%	3.20%	12.80%	0.80%	80.00%	0.00%	3.20%	
CO	Branson School Online	Branson	Independent	2011	KG	12	District	.	409	422	439	1.96%	0.73%	23.96%	4.65%	64.06%	0.24%	4.40%	
CO	Center Virtual Academy	Center	K12 Inc.	2011	09	12	District	.	11	13	11	0.00%	0.00%	72.73%	0.00%	27.27%	0.00%	0.00%	
CO	College Pathways (The Classical Academy College Pa	Colorado Springs	Independent	2011	07	12	District	.	424	453	472	0.47%	0.94%	6.84%	1.18%	86.08%	0.94%	3.54%	
CO	Colorado Calvert Academy State Charter School	Denver	Independent	2010	KG	08	Charter	.	180	193	190	0.00%	3.33%	13.33%	2.78%	76.67%	0.00%	3.89%	
CO	Delta County Virtual Academy	Delta	Independent	2011	KG	12	District	.	14	22	22	0.00%	0.00%	35.71%	0.00%	64.29%	0.00%	0.00%	
CO	Denver Online High School (Online High School)	Denver	Independent	2011	09	12	District	.	97	117	126	2.06%	1.03%	30.93%	14.43%	44.33%	2.06%	5.15%	
CO	eDCSD Colorado Cyberschool	Castle Rock	Independent	2011	KG	12	District	.	233	232	261	1.29%	3.00%	7.73%	0.86%	80.26%	0.00%	6.87%	
CO	Edison Academy	Yoder	Independent	2011	06	12	District	.	73	63	69	0.00%	1.37%	9.59%	0.00%	89.04%	0.00%	0.00%	

State	School Name	City	EMO Name or Independent	School Descriptive Information								Ethnicity of Students - Percentage by Ethnic Category -						
				Year Founded	From Grade	To Grade	Charter School/District School	2010-11 Enrollment	2011-12 Enrollment	2012-13 Enrollment	2013-14 Enrollment	Am. Indian	Asian	Hispanic	Black	White	Pacific Islander	Mixed Race
CO	Engage Online Academy	Greeley	Independent	2011	09	12	District	.	3	89	106	0.00%	0.00%	66.67%	0.00%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%
CO	Falcon Virtual Academy	Falcon	Independent	2011	KG	12	District	.	362	806	966	0.28%	2.21%	12.71%	1.93%	76.52%	0.83%	5.52%
CO	Grande River Virtual Academy	Grand Junction	Independent	2011	KG	12	District	.	156	245	182	1.28%	0.64%	10.90%	0.00%	83.97%	0.00%	3.21%
CO	Guided Online Academic Learning (GOAL) Academy	Westminster	Independent	2008	08	12	Charter	.	2188	2,590	3,149	1.33%	0.69%	53.02%	3.11%	41.50%	0.37%	0.00%
CO	Hope Online Learning Academy	Englewood	Independent	2009	KG	12	District	.	2949	3,079	3,074	0.54%	3.05%	51.58%	20.11%	21.43%	0.00%	3.29%
CO	Jeffco's 21st Century Virtual Academy	Golden	Independent	2011	07	12	District	.	230	247	319	1.30%	1.30%	14.35%	0.43%	80.00%	0.00%	2.61%
CO	Karval Online Education	Karval	Independent	2011	KG	12	District	.	155	93	.	1.94%	0.65%	8.39%	2.58%	86.45%	0.00%	0.00%
CO	Monte Vista On-Line Academy	Monte Vista	Independent	2011	06	12	District	.	110	79	75	0.00%	0.00%	37.27%	1.82%	60.00%	0.00%	0.91%
CO	PSD Global Academy (PSD Online Academy)	Fort Collins	Independent	2011	KG	12	District	.	170	154	152	0.00%	0.59%	12.35%	0.00%	85.29%	0.00%	1.76%
CO	Southwest Colorado E-school	Durango	Independent	2011	06	12	District	.	51	29	43	15.69%	0.00%	17.65%	0.00%	64.71%	0.00%	1.96%
CO	St. Vrain Online Global Academy	Longmont	Independent	2011	09	12	District	.	65	81	106	0.00%	3.08%	24.62%	1.54%	70.77%	0.00%	0.00%
CO	Thompson Online	Loveland	Independent	2011	KG	12	District	.	34	48	.	0.00%	0.00%	14.71%	0.00%	70.59%	0.00%	14.71%
CO	Vilas Online (V.I.L.A.S. ONLINE SCHOOL)	Vilas	Independent	2000	KG	12	District	.	229	165	73	2.18%	0.44%	24.89%	4.37%	66.81%	0.44%	0.87%
CO	World Academy	Eagle	Independent	2011	KG	12	District	.	7	14	19	0.00%	0.00%	14.29%	0.00%	85.71%	0.00%	0.00%
CO	Insight School of Colorado	Julesburg	Insight Schools	2008	06	12	Charter	.	628	885	683	1.11%	0.80%	17.52%	3.98%	74.20%	2.39%	0.00%
CO	Colorado Virtual Academy	Northglenn	K12 Inc.	2001	KG	12	Charter	.	5013	4,602	2,672	1.80%	1.52%	11.79%	4.67%	79.79%	0.26%	0.18%
CO	Peak Virtual Academy	Montrose	K12 Inc.	2011	KG	12	District	.	37	.	132	0.00%	0.00%	24.32%	0.00%	67.57%	0.00%	8.11%
CO	Great Plains Academy	Byers	Mosaica Education, Inc.	2011	K	12	Charter	.	.	83	135	0.00%	1.20%	15.66%	1.20%	66.27%	0.00%	15.66%
DC	Community Academy Public Charter School- Online	Washington DC	K12 Inc.	2004	KG	08	Charter	.	111	95	95	0.00%	0.90%	0.00%	81.08%	18.02%	0.00%	0.00%
FL	COLLIER VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	Naples	Connections Academy	2009	KG	12	District	49	48	50	28	0.00%	2.08%	10.42%	6.25%	77.08%	0.00%	4.17%
FL	FLORIDA VIRTUAL SCHOOL FULL TIME 9-12	Orlando	Connections Academy	2009	09	12	District	0	933	1,800	1,975	0.21%	1.82%	18.44%	7.29%	68.70%	0.21%	3.32%
FL	FLORIDA VIRTUAL SCHOOL FULL TIME K-8	Orlando	Connections Academy	2009	KG	08	District	0	1762	3,301	3,769	0.62%	1.25%	18.05%	10.56%	66.12%	0.06%	3.35%
FL	ALACHUA VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	Gainesville	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	12	District	37	26	38	0	3.85%	3.85%	15.38%	7.69%	65.38%	0.00%	3.85%
FL	Bay Virtual Instruction Program	Panama City	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	08	District	34	29	60	38	0.00%	0.00%	3.45%	3.45%	89.66%	0.00%	3.45%
FL	Brevard Virtual Instruction Program	Viera	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	08	District	100	54	54	0	0.00%	3.70%	5.56%	24.07%	61.11%	0.00%	5.56%
FL	BROWARD VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	Davie	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	05	District	65	82	74	54	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	#####	0.00%	0.00%
FL	CALHOUN VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	Blountstown	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	12	District	1	9	12	32	0.00%	0.00%	22.22%	0.00%	55.56%	0.00%	22.22%
FL	DUVAL VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	Jacksonville	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	12	Charter	0	87	178	0	0.00%	5.75%	5.75%	20.69%	66.67%	0.00%	1.15%
FL	Escambia Virtual Instruction Program	Pensacola	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	08	District	35	42	37	57	2.38%	0.00%	7.14%	4.76%	76.19%	2.38%	7.14%
FL	Gadsden Virtual Instruction Program	Quincy	K12 Inc.	2009	PK	12	District	11	11	15	8	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	63.64%	36.36%	0.00%	0.00%
FL	Hernando School District Virtual Instruction Program	Brooksville	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	12	District	20	14	14	2	0.00%	0.00%	28.57%	0.00%	64.29%	0.00%	7.14%
FL	Highlands Virtual School Program	Sebring	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	12	District	39	31	21	26	0.00%	0.00%	16.13%	0.00%	83.87%	0.00%	0.00%
FL	Hillsborough Virtual Instruction Program	Tampa	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	08	District	0	134	176	152	2.24%	2.24%	23.13%	8.21%	61.94%	0.00%	2.24%
FL	JACKSON VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	Mariana	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	12	District	14	14	15	22	14.29%	0.00%	7.14%	14.29%	57.14%	0.00%	7.14%
FL	LAKE VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	Tavares	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	12	District	109	114	79	0	0.00%	0.00%	7.02%	1.75%	80.70%	0.00%	10.53%
FL	LEE VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	Fort Myers	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	12	District	57	44	57	74	0.00%	0.00%	18.18%	2.27%	77.27%	0.00%	2.27%
FL	MANATEE VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	Bradenton	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	12	District	58	56	78	63	3.57%	1.79%	10.71%	3.57%	80.36%	0.00%	0.00%
FL	Okaloosa Virtual Instruction Program	Fort Walton Beach	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	12	District	10	20	0	27	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	#####	0.00%	0.00%
FL	ORANGE COUNTY VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	Orlando	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	12	District	0	94	109	143	0.00%	2.13%	34.04%	10.64%	51.06%	0.00%	2.13%
FL	OSCEOLA VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	Kissimmee	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	12	District	84	63	58	102	0.00%	3.17%	20.63%	4.76%	63.49%	0.00%	7.94%
FL	Palm Beach Virtual Instruction Program	Riviera Beach	K12 Inc.	2009	06	12	District	88	97	98	68	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	NA
FL	PASCO VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	Land O Lakes	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	05	District	53	26	51	0	3.85%	0.00%	3.85%	3.85%	80.77%	0.00%	7.69%
FL	PINELLAS VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	Largo	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	12	District	122	57	64	78	1.75%	0.00%	8.77%	3.51%	82.46%	0.00%	3.51%
FL	PINELLAS VIRTUAL K-12	Clearwater	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	12	District	0	223	197	227	0.90%	0.90%	7.17%	17.04%	69.06%	0.00%	4.93%
FL	POLK VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	Bartow	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	12	District	32	77	131	72	1.30%	0.00%	15.58%	5.19%	76.62%	0.00%	1.30%
FL	SANTA ROSA VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	Milton	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	08	District	0	41	54	45	2.44%	0.00%	9.76%	0.00%	82.93%	0.00%	4.88%
FL	SARASOTA VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	Sarasota	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	12	District	137	147	102	80	0.68%	2.04%	6.80%	2.72%	82.99%	0.00%	4.76%
FL	SEMINOLE VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM (SVIP)	Sanford	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	12	District	102	117	94	94	0.85%	7.69%	10.26%	5.13%	71.79%	0.00%	4.27%
FL	ST. JOHNS VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM K-8	St. Augustine	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	08	District	30	36	36	0	0.00%	0.00%	5.56%	8.33%	83.33%	0.00%	2.78%
FL	ST. LUCIE VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	Fort Pierce	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	12	District	72	64	30	33	1.56%	6.25%	18.75%	9.38%	56.25%	0.00%	7.81%
FL	VOLUSIA COUNTY VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	Deland	K12 Inc.	2009	KG	12	District	108	145	156	168	2.07%	0.69%	6.21%	6.21%	78.62%	0.00%	6.21%

State	School Name	City	EMO Name or Independent	School Descriptive Information							Ethnicity of Students - Percentage by Ethnic Category -							
				Year Founded	From Grade	To Grade	Charter School/District School	2010-11 Enrollment	2011-12 Enrollment	2012-13 Enrollment	2013-14 Enrollment	Am. Indian	Asian	Hispanic	Black	White	Pacific Islander	Mixed Race
FL	Florida Virtual Schools - 50 Franchises		[K12 Inc/Connections Academy		K	12	District	0	1,658	1,790	2,770	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
GA	Georgia Connections Academy	Duluth	Connections Academy	2011	KG	08	Charter	1,304	598	2,000	2,994	0.84%	1.00%	4.85%	37.79%	50.50%	0.17%	4.85%
GA	Provost Academy Georgia	Atlanta	Edison Learning	2012	9	12	Charter	.	.	519	1,741	0.00%	3.42%	14.53%	28.96%	50.24%	NA	3.15%
GA	iAchieve Virtual Academy	Cumming	Independent	2011	06	12	District	128	125	99	82	0.80%	0.80%	8.80%	4.00%	84.80%	0.00%	0.80%
GA	Gwinnett County Online Campus	Norcross	Independent	2011	09	12	Charter	.	107	180	215	0.93%	2.80%	11.21%	24.30%	57.94%	0.00%	2.80%
GA	Georgia Cyber Academy (Odyssey School)	Newnan	K12 Inc.	2004	KG	10	Charter	6,545	10289	12,192	13,300	0.54%	2.10%	1.46%	32.39%	63.11%	0.24%	0.16%
IA	Iowa Connections Academy Elementary	Anita	Connections Academy	2012	K	5	District	.	.	66	84	0.00%	3.57%	7.14%	2.38%	84.52%	0.00%	2.38%
IA	Iowa Connections Academy High School	Anita	Connections Academy	2012	9	12	District	.	.	106	169	0.59%	0.59%	4.14%	2.37%	88.76%	0.00%	3.55%
IA	Iowa Connections Academy Middle School	Anita	Connections Academy	2012	6	8	District	.	.	74	99	1.01%	1.01%	1.01%	1.01%	92.93%	0.00%	3.03%
IA	Iowa Virtual Academy	Garnavillo	K12 Inc.	2012	K	12	District	.	.	69	198	1.01%	2.53%	7.07%	4.55%	82.32%	1.50%	1.01%
ID	INSPIRE, the Idaho Connections Academy	Boise	Connections Academy	2005	KG	12	Charter	627	732	702	813	1.91%	0.82%	6.28%	0.68%	86.48%	0.00%	3.83%
ID	Another Choice Virtual School	Nampa	Independent	2010	KG	12	Charter	110	219	321	326	0.00%	0.00%	31.05%	0.46%	66.21%	0.00%	2.28%
ID	Bonneville District Virtual Academy	Idaho Falls	Independent	2009	KG	12	District	115	103	0	103	0.00%	0.00%	4.85%	0.97%	94.17%	0.00%	0.00%
ID	Idaho Connects Online Alternative School	Boise	Independent	2013	09	12	Charter	.	0	0	154
ID	Idaho Connects Online School	Boise	Independent	2009	06	12	Charter	.	133	166	96	4.51%	0.00%	3.76%	0.75%	89.47%	0.75%	0.75%
ID	Idaho Distance Education Academy	Deary	Independent	2004	KG	12	Charter	922	801	815	758	0.62%	1.75%	4.12%	1.50%	91.39%	0.50%	0.12%
ID	Kootenai Bridge Academy	Coeur D Alene	Independent	2009	11	12	Charter	202	218	252	233	0.92%	0.92%	4.59%	0.00%	91.74%	0.00%	1.83%
ID	Minidoka Virtual Academy	Rupert	Independent	2011	KG	08	District	.	6	18	18	0.00%	0.00%	16.67%	0.00%	66.67%	16.67%	0.00%
ID	Richard Mckenna Alternative Charter High	Mountain Home	Independent	2010	9	12	District	212	172	114	114
ID	Richard Mckenna Charter High	Mountain Home	Independent	2002	09	12	Charter	146	271	127	108	0.74%	0.00%	9.96%	0.00%	85.24%	0.00%	4.06%
ID	Vallivue Virtual Academy	Caldwell	Independent	2010	KG	12	District	12	22	6	111	0.00%	0.00%	9.09%	0.00%	90.91%	0.00%	0.00%
ID	iSucceed Virtual High School	Boise	Insight Schools	2008	09	12	Charter	888	549	376	398	3.10%	0.18%	9.84%	1.82%	84.88%	0.18%	0.00%
ID	Idaho Virtual Academy	Meridian	K12 Inc.	2004	KG	12	Charter	2,696	2980	3,133	2,645	1.48%	0.81%	4.30%	0.91%	92.25%	0.17%	0.10%
ID	Idaho Virtual Academy Alternative High School	Meridian	K12 Inc.	2010	07	12	District	44	10	.	320	0.00%	0.00%	10.00%	0.00%	80.00%	10.00%	0.00%
IN	Indiana Connections Academy	Indianapolis	Connections Academy	2010	KG	12	Charter	266	1675	2,749	3,013	0.36%	0.66%	5.79%	6.51%	82.03%	0.12%	4.54%
IN	Achieve Virtual Education Academy	Indianapolis	Independent	2011	09	12	District	0	196	117	134	0.00%	0.00%	3.06%	8.16%	86.73%	0.00%	2.04%
IN	Hoosier Academy Virtual School	Indianapolis	Independent	2009	KG	12	Charter	204	1853	3,832	4,151	0.22%	0.76%	3.02%	9.88%	81.92%	0.00%	4.21%
IN	Indiana Virtual School	Indianapolis	Independent	2010	06	12	District	0	9	36	105	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	33.33%	66.67%	0.00%	0.00%
IN	Hoosier Academy - Indianapolis	Indianapolis	K12 Inc.	2008	KG	12	Charter	509	366	540	456	0.00%	1.64%	3.83%	13.11%	75.68%	0.82%	4.92%
KS	Kansas Connections Academy	Elkhart	Connections Academy	2010	KG	12	District	291	462	518	662	0.43%	0.43%	10.39%	5.41%	75.54%	0.43%	7.36%
KS	Leavenworth Virtual School	Leavenworth	Independent	2006	KG	08	District	100	112	96	101	4.46%	0.89%	8.93%	3.57%	75.89%	0.00%	6.25%
KS	Little River Virtual School (opened 2013)	Little River	Independent	2013	K	12	District	.	.	.	10	0.00%	0.00%	4.00%	3.00%	92.00%	0.00%	1.00%
KS	Smoky Valley Virtual Charter School	Lindsborg	Independent	2005	08	12	Charter	72	81	180	248	0.00%	3.70%	3.70%	6.17%	85.19%	0.00%	1.23%
KS	Insight School of KS at Hilltop Education Center	Olathe	Insight Schools	2008	07	12	Charter	1,466	744	605	547	3.09%	0.67%	5.65%	10.08%	77.69%	0.54%	2.28%
KS	Heartland Virtual Academy	Hutchinson	K12 Inc.	2011	KG	08	Charter	.	58	72	88	0.00%	0.00%	1.72%	3.45%	91.38%	0.00%	3.45%
KS	Kansas Virtual Academy (opened 2013)	Olathe	K12 Inc.	2013	K	12	District	.	.	.	148	0.00%	0.00%	10.00%	10.00%	75.00%	0.00%	5.00%
KS	Lawrence Virtual High School (closed 2014)	Lawrence	K12 Inc.	2010	09	11	Charter	100	242	292	325	0.83%	0.00%	7.02%	3.31%	80.17%	0.41%	8.26%
KS	Lawrence Virtual School	Lawrence	K12 Inc.	2004	KG	08	Charter	1,100	1077	1,069	1,228	1.21%	0.93%	5.76%	3.81%	82.54%	0.19%	5.57%
LA	Louisiana Connections Academy	Baton Rouge	Connections Academy	2011	KG	12	Charter	.	597	1,203	1,790	0.67%	1.17%	3.69%	15.41%	74.71%	0.00%	4.36%
MA	Massachusetts Virtual Academy (MAVA) at Greenfie	Greenfield	K12 Inc.	2010	KG	12	District	.	484	473	454	0.62%	1.65%	9.09%	10.12%	75.21%	0.00%	3.31%
MI	Michigan Connections Academy (MICA)	Okemos	Connections Academy	2009	KG	12	Charter	375	530	694	1,504	1.89%	4.72%	3.77%	16.98%	64.34%	0.00%	8.30%
MI	Great Lakes Cyber Academy/School	Okemos	Connections Academy	2013	09	12	District	.	.	.	255	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	#####	0.00%	0.00%
MI	Berrien Springs Virtual Academy	Berrien Springs	Independent	2010	09	12	District	.	-2	45	30	0.00%	0.00%	13.33%	10.00%	70.00%	0.00%	6.67%
MI	Big Rapids Virtual School	Big Rapids	Independent	2013	09	12	District	.	.	.	66	0.00%	0.00%	4.55%	6.06%	89.39%	0.00%	0.00%
MI	Bloomington Online Academy	Bloomington	Independent	2012	09	12	District	.	.	18	6	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	#####	0.00%	0.00%
MI	Capac Virtual Education Program	Capac	Independent	2012	6	12	District	.	.	25	36	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	#####	0.00%	0.00%
MI	Cheboygan Virtual Academy	Cheboygan	Independent	2013	8	12	District	.	.	.	34	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	94.12%	0.00%	5.88%
MI	Clinton Virtual Jr/Sr High School	Clinton	Independent	2013	7	12	District	.	.	.	16	0.00%	0.00%	18.75%	0.00%	81.25%	0.00%	0.00%

State	School Name	City	EMO Name or Independent	School Descriptive Information								Ethnicity of Students - Percentage by Ethnic Category -						
				Year Founded	From Grade	To Grade	Charter School/District School	2010-11 Enrollment	2011-12 Enrollment	2012-13 Enrollment	2013-14 Enrollment	Am. Indian	Asian	Hispanic	Black	White	Pacific Islander	Mixed Race
MI	Dearborn Heights Virtual Academy	Dearborn Heights	Independent	2010	09	12	District	46	55	62	48	0.00%	3.64%	7.27%	23.64%	65.45%	0.00%	0.00%
MI	Downriver Virtual Academy	Ecorse	Independent	2013	7	12	District	.	.	.	28	0.00%	0.00%	7.14%	42.86%	42.86%	0.00%	0.00%
MI	Fowlerville Online Learning Academy	Fowlerville	Independent	2011	06	12	District	.	67	42	40	0.00%	0.00%	4.48%	1.49%	92.54%	0.00%	1.49%
MI	Gaylord Virtual Instruction Program	Gaylord	Independent	2011	06	12	District	.	53	78	20	0.00%	0.00%	1.89%	0.00%	96.23%	0.00%	1.89%
MI	Gobles Virtual Academy	Gobles	Independent	2012	7	12	District	.	.	15	16	0.00%	0.00%	6.25%	6.25%	87.50%	0.00%	0.00%
MI	Grand Haven Cyber School	Grand Haven	Independent	2012	06	12	District	.	.	9	21	0.00%	0.00%	14.29%	0.00%	76.19%	0.00%	9.52%
MI	Great Lakes Online School-Ovid-elsie	Elsie	Independent	2012	09	12	District	.	.	60	32	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
MI	Gull Lake Virtual School	Auburn Hills	Independent	2010	06	12	District	.	72	64	55	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.39%	94.44%	0.00%	4.17%
MI	Harper Woods Virtual Academy	Harper Woods	Independent	2013	06	12	District	.	.	.	39	0.00%	0.00%	2.56%	64.10%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%
MI	Hartland Virtual Academy	Howell	Independent	2013	09	12	District	.	.	9	36	0.00%	2.78%	0.00%	0.00%	97.22%	0.00%	0.00%
MI	Holton Virtual Academy	Holton	Independent	2013	09	12	District	.	.	.	33	6.06%	0.00%	3.03%	3.03%	78.79%	0.00%	9.09%
MI	Ingham Virtual High School	Leslie	Independent	2010	09	12	District	.	20	17	20	0.00%	0.00%	5.00%	0.00%	95.00%	0.00%	0.00%
MI	Jenison International Academy	Jenison	Independent	2011	06	12	District	.	60	60	107	0.00%	0.00%	10.00%	6.67%	76.67%	0.00%	6.67%
MI	Lake Huron Virtual & Hybrid High School	New Haven	Independent	2011	09	12	District	.	12	42	30	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	25.00%	75.00%	0.00%	0.00%
MI	Madison Virtual Academy	Madison Heights	Independent	2012	KG	12	District	.	.	25	305	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	7.21%	89.84%	0.00%	2.95%
MI	Oak Park Virtual Academy	Oak Park	Independent	2013	06	12	District	.	.	.	53	0.00%	0.00%	1.89%	62.26%	35.85%	0.00%	0.00%
MI	Oxford Virtual Academy	Oxford	Independent	2009	01	12	District	.	105	371	373	0.00%	2.86%	0.00%	9.52%	80.95%	0.95%	5.71%
MI	SouthEast Michigan Cyber Academy	Redford	Independent	2012	9	12	District	.	.	85	231	0.00%	0.00%	0.43%	87.01%	9.96%	0.00%	2.60%
MI	St. Charles Virtual School	Saint Charles	Independent	2013	06	12	District	.	.	.	75	1.33%	2.67%	9.33%	10.67%	74.67%	0.00%	1.33%
MI	Suttons Bay Virtual School	Suttons Bay	Independent	2011	06	12	District	.	77	189	130	3.90%	1.30%	1.30%	14.29%	72.73%	1.30%	5.19%
MI	Taylor Virtual Learning Academy	Taylor	Independent	2012	7	12	District	.	.	116	253	1.19%	0.00%	8.70%	20.16%	68.38%	0.00%	1.58%
MI	Tecumseh Virtual Academy	Tecumseh	Independent	2013	9	12	District	.	.	.	48	4.17%	0.00%	8.33%	0.00%	85.42%	0.00%	2.08%
MI	Virtual Learning Academy Consortium	Waterford	Independent	2012	5	8	District	.	.	256	279	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	#####	0.00%	0.00%
MI	Virtual Learning Academy of St. Clair County	Marysville	Independent	2009	09	12	Charter	150	169	174	197	0.59%	0.59%	4.14%	3.55%	88.17%	0.59%	2.37%
MI	Waterford Cyber Academy	Waterford	Independent	2010	06	12	District	81	144	118	87	1.39%	4.86%	4.86%	15.97%	72.92%	0.00%	0.00%
MI	West Michigan Virtual-Battle Creek HS	Battle Creek	Independent	2013	09	12	District	.	.	.	223	1.79%	0.00%	7.62%	22.87%	62.78%	0.00%	4.93%
MI	West Michigan Virtual-Battle Creek MS	Battle Creek	Independent	2013	06	12	District	.	.	.	25	4.00%	0.00%	12.00%	16.00%	60.00%	0.00%	8.00%
MI	West Michigan Virtual-Edmore/Montabella/Vestab	Edmore	Independent	2013	09	12	District	.	.	.	34	0.00%	0.00%	2.94%	2.94%	88.24%	0.00%	5.88%
MI	West Michigan Virtual-Escanaba	Escanaba	Independent	2013	09	12	District	.	.	.	48	0.00%	0.00%	4.17%	0.00%	93.75%	0.00%	2.08%
MI	West Michigan Virtual-Greenville	Greenville	Independent	2012	09	12	District	.	.	.	94	0.00%	0.00%	5.32%	0.00%	94.68%	0.00%	0.00%
MI	West Michigan Virtual-Howard City/Tri County	Howard City	Independent	2013	09	12	District	.	.	.	48	0.00%	0.00%	4.17%	0.00%	95.83%	0.00%	0.00%
MI	West Michigan Virtual-Stanton/Central Montcalm	Stanton	Independent	2013	09	12	District	.	.	.	75	1.33%	0.00%	8.00%	0.00%	90.67%	0.00%	0.00%
MI	Westwood Cyber High School	Inkster	Independent	2010	09	12	District	697	764	641	508	0.39%	0.13%	4.45%	30.50%	64.01%	0.39%	0.13%
MI	Hanover-Horton Virtual School	Horton	K12 Inc.	2010	08	12	District	.	18	26	32	0.00%	0.00%	5.56%	5.56%	88.89%	0.00%	0.00%
MI	MI Great Lakes Virtual Academy	Manistee	K12 Inc.	2013	KG	12	District	.	.	.	474	1.27%	0.84%	0.21%	19.62%	78.06%	0.00%	0.00%
MI	Michigan Virtual Charter Academy	Grand Rapids	K12 Inc.	2010	KG	12	Charter	329	676	954	4,318	0.74%	3.11%	3.25%	22.34%	70.27%	0.15%	0.15%
MI	Mosaica Online Academy of Michigan	Lansing	Mosaica Education, Inc.	2013	KG	12	District	.	.	.	22	0.00%	0.00%	9.09%	22.73%	45.45%	0.00%	22.73%
MN	Minneapolis On Line Learning	Minneapolis	Independent	2011	08	12	District	.	44	44	42	6.82%	13.64%	11.36%	40.91%	27.27%	0.00%	0.00%
MN	Minnesota Connections Academy	St. Paul	Connections Academy	2005	KG	12	District	.	1241	1,432	1,588	2.50%	1.93%	4.83%	7.09%	83.56%	0.00%	0.08%
MN	Cyber Village Academy	St. Paul	Independent	1997	03	12	District	.	134	147	146	0.00%	3.73%	5.22%	2.99%	77.61%	0.00%	10.45%
MN	EdVisions Off Campus School	Henderson	Independent	2005	07	12	District	.	90	93	97	1.11%	0.00%	1.11%	0.00%	97.78%	0.00%	0.00%
MN	eMinnesota Online Academy	Madelia	Independent	2013	09	12	District	.	0	.	14	1.11%	0.00%	1.11%	0.00%	97.78%	0.00%	0.00%
MN	Minnesota Online High School	St. Paul	Independent	2005	09	12	Charter	.	117	107	122	3.42%	0.00%	5.98%	0.00%	85.47%	0.00%	5.13%
MN	Trio Wolf Creek Distance Learning	Chisago City	Independent	2002	09	12	District	.	140	137	132	1.43%	0.00%	2.86%	0.71%	95.00%	0.00%	0.00%
MN	Virtual High School	Minneapolis	Advanced Academics	1999	06	12	Charter	.	929	658	619	1.61%	1.72%	6.89%	4.41%	81.27%	1.08%	3.01%
MN	Insight School of Minnesota	Brooklyn Center	Insight Schools	2008	09	12	Charter	.	310	295	312	2.90%	1.29%	1.61%	6.45%	86.77%	0.97%	0.00%
MN	Minnesota Virtual Academy	Houston	K12 Inc.	2002	KG	12	District	.	1787	2,123	1,821	1.51%	1.18%	4.09%	4.92%	87.80%	0.06%	0.45%
MN	iQ Academy Minnesota	Fergus Falls	KC Distance Learning Inc.	2008	06	12	District	.	208	282	307	0.96%	1.44%	4.33%	0.96%	90.87%	0.00%	1.44%
NH	Virtual Learning Academy Charter School (H)	Exeter	Independent	2008	09	12	Charter	.	63	63	63	1.59%	0.00%	3.17%	1.59%	93.65%	0.00%	0.00%
NM	New Mexico Connections Academy	Albuquerque	Connections Academy	2013	09	12	Charter	.	.	.	481	10.00%	1.00%	59.00%	2.00%	26.00%	0.00%	0.00%

State	School Name	City	EMO Name or Independent	School Descriptive Information								Ethnicity of Students - Percentage by Ethnic Category -						
				Year Founded	From Grade	To Grade	Charter School/District School	2010-11 Enrollment	2011-12 Enrollment	2012-13 Enrollment	2013-14 Enrollment	Am. Indian	Asian	Hispanic	Black	White	Pacific Islander	Mixed Race
NM	Academy Virtual High School	Albuquerque	Independent	2011	09	12	District	.	32	32	24	6.25%	3.13%	68.75%	0.00%	21.88%	0.00%	0.00%
NM	New Mexico Virtual Academy	Farmington	K12 Inc.	2012	09	12	Charter	.	.	496	35.00%	1.00%	24.00%	1.00%	37.30%	0.00%	0.00%	
NV	Washoe Online Learning for the Future (WOLF)	Reno	Advanced Academics	2004	9	12	District	149	128	250	250	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
NV	Nevada Connections Academy	Sparks	Connections Academy	2007	KG	12	Charter	1,571	1719	1,607	1,987	0.87%	2.50%	17.28%	6.92%	63.76%	0.99%	7.68%
NV	Beacon Academy of Nevada (formerly Beacon Nevada)	Las Vegas	Independent	2009	09	12	Charter	639	651	732	811	0.61%	2.76%	29.80%	12.60%	48.08%	1.84%	4.30%
NV	Clark County Virtual High School Distance (formerly Henderson)	Henderson	Independent	2004	11	12	Charter	149	245	180	180	0.41%	5.71%	22.04%	5.31%	55.51%	0.00%	11.02%
NV	Delta Academy	Las Vegas	Independent	2007	07	12	Charter	196	181	192	225	2.76%	3.87%	27.07%	22.10%	41.44%	1.10%	1.66%
NV	Nevada Virtual Academy	Las Vegas	Independent	2007	KG	12	Charter	2,602	3573	4,497	3,669	1.71%	3.78%	11.89%	12.29%	68.18%	2.07%	0.08%
NV	Odyssey Charter High School	Las Vegas	Independent	1999	09	12	Charter	810	1006	1,115	1,120	0.80%	1.89%	29.42%	6.76%	53.78%	0.60%	6.76%
NV	Odyssey Charter School 6-8	Las Vegas	Independent	1999	06	08	Charter	.	341	.	408	0.88%	1.76%	21.70%	11.73%	53.08%	1.17%	9.68%
NV	Odyssey Charter School K-5	Las Vegas	Independent	1999	KG	05	Charter	538	230	583	238	0.00%	4.35%	27.39%	13.04%	42.61%	1.30%	11.30%
NV	Silver State Charter School	Carson City	Independent	2004	07	12	Charter	.	431	428	428	3.25%	0.46%	17.40%	0.70%	74.71%	0.23%	3.25%
OH	Electronic Classroom of Tomorrow	Columbus	Altair Learning Management	2001	KG	12	Charter	.	10840	13,847	13,537	0.37%	0.28%	3.79%	14.83%	76.00%	0.05%	4.69%
OH	Buckeye Online School for Success	East Liverpool	Buckeye On-line School for Success	2004	KG	12	Charter	.	1490	1,235	1,119	0.47%	0.40%	2.01%	5.57%	87.79%	0.00%	3.76%
OH	Ohio Connections Academy	Cleveland	Connections Academy	2003	KG	12	Charter	.	2955	3,123	3,272	0.44%	0.68%	3.76%	9.54%	80.88%	0.03%	4.67%
OH	Provost Academy Ohio (opened 2013-14)	Columbus	Edison Learning	2013	6	12	Charter	.	.	.	122	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
OH	Virtual Community School of Ohio	Columbus	eSchool Consultants	2002	KG	12	Charter	.	1201	.	1,033	0.50%	0.17%	2.58%	18.57%	73.27%	0.17%	4.75%
OH	A.B. Graham Academy (closed 2014)	Saint Paris	Independent	2009	KG	12	Charter	157	187	232	258	0.53%	0.00%	0.00%	0.53%	96.26%	0.00%	2.67%
OH	Akron Digital Academy	Akron	Independent	2002	KG	12	Charter	642	590	506	519	0.17%	0.17%	2.37%	49.83%	41.69%	0.00%	5.76%
OH	Alternative Education Academy	Toledo	Independent	2001	KG	12	Charter	.	1864	2,102	1,958	0.27%	0.38%	4.29%	13.68%	74.79%	0.32%	6.28%
OH	Auglaize County Educational Virtual Academy	Lima	Independent	2004	KG	12	Charter	.	88	91	94	1.14%	0.00%	0.00%	1.14%	97.73%	0.00%	0.00%
OH	Cardington Lincoln Local Digital Academy	Cardington	Independent	2004	03	12	Charter	.	42	32	33	4.76%	0.00%	2.38%	0.00%	92.86%	0.00%	0.00%
OH	Fairborn Digital Academy	Fairborn	Independent	2002	09	12	Charter	.	134	136	144	0.00%	0.00%	7.46%	8.96%	76.87%	0.00%	6.72%
OH	Findlay Digital Academy	Findlay	Independent	2004	09	12	Charter	.	123	131	136	0.00%	0.81%	4.88%	5.69%	86.99%	0.00%	1.63%
OH	GOAL Digital Academy	Mansfield	Independent	2002	01	12	Charter	.	295	343	343	0.34%	0.00%	0.34%	6.10%	90.51%	0.00%	2.71%
OH	Graham Digital Academy	Saint Paris	Independent	2003	06	12	Charter	.	35	258	258	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	88.57%	0.00%	11.43%
OH	Greater Ohio Virtual School	Lebanon	Independent	2004	09	12	Charter	.	260	260	396	0.00%	0.77%	1.54%	1.92%	94.23%	0.00%	1.54%
OH	Lakewood Digital Academy	Hebron	Independent	2003	01	12	Charter	.	45	46	47	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	#####	0.00%	0.00%
OH	Lancaster Digital Academy	Lancaster	Independent	2002	03	12	Charter	.	89	102	102	0.00%	0.00%	2.25%	1.12%	94.38%	0.00%	2.25%
OH	London Academy	London	Independent	2002	09	12	Charter	.	340	243	243	0.00%	0.29%	1.18%	4.12%	91.47%	0.29%	2.65%
OH	Mahoning Unlimited Classroom	Youngstown	Independent	2003	04	12	Charter	.	105	130	152	0.95%	0.00%	2.86%	8.57%	81.90%	0.00%	5.71%
OH	Marion City Digital Academy	Marrion	Independent	2002	KG	12	Charter	.	120	87	87	0.00%	0.83%	2.50%	1.67%	92.50%	0.00%	2.50%
OH	Massillon Digital Academy, Inc	Massillon	Independent	2003	06	12	Charter	.	109	104	112	0.92%	0.00%	3.67%	4.59%	80.73%	0.00%	10.09%
OH	Newark Digital Academy	Newark	Independent	2003	KG	12	Charter	287	266	269	269	0.38%	0.00%	1.88%	3.01%	89.47%	0.00%	5.26%
OH	Quaker Digital Academy	New Philadelphia	Independent	2003	KG	12	Charter	459	470	581	604	0.64%	0.43%	0.64%	2.98%	91.91%	0.00%	3.40%
OH	Southwest Licking Digital Academy	Pataskala	Independent	2003	01	12	Charter	.	33	33	30	0.00%	0.00%	15.15%	3.03%	78.79%	0.00%	3.03%
OH	Treca Digital Academy	Marion	Independent	2001	KG	12	Charter	.	1887	1,842	1,973	0.16%	0.16%	3.97%	8.69%	82.09%	0.11%	4.82%
OH	West Central Learning Academy II	Lima	Independent	2002	07	12	Charter	.	109	68	55	0.00%	0.00%	1.83%	6.42%	83.49%	0.00%	8.26%
OH	Insight Schol of Ohio	Columbus	K12 Inc.	2013	KG	12	Charter	.	.	.	494	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
OH	Ohio Virtual Academy	Maumee	K12 Inc.	2002	KG	12	Charter	.	11640	12,616	13,147	0.34%	2.51%	3.81%	11.01%	80.26%	0.03%	2.03%
OH	Mosaica Online Academy	Cincinnati	Mosaica Education, Inc.	2013	KG	12	Charter	.	.	.	31	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	79.94%	0.94%	0.00%	0.43%
OH	Ohio Distance & Electronic Learning Academy	Akron	White Hat Management	2002	KG	12	Charter	.	590	1,906	1,906	0.17%	0.17%	2.37%	49.83%	41.69%	0.00%	5.76%
OK	Oklahoma Virtual High School (OKVHS)	Oklahoma City	Advanced Academics	2012	KG	12	District	.	.	765	656	12.04%	0.64%	4.10%	4.74%	78.49%	0.00%	0.00%
OK	Epic One on One Virtual	Oklahoma City	Calvert Education Services	2011	PK	12	Charter	.	1712	2,241	2,916	7.89%	0.53%	6.72%	11.68%	65.89%	0.06%	7.24%
OK	Oklahoma Connections Academy	Oklahoma City	Connections Academy	2013	KG	12	District	.	.	510	620	41.67%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	56.41%	0.00%	0.00%
OK	Oklahoma Virtual Academy (Oklahoma Virtual Chart Choctaw)	Choctaw	K12 Inc.	2011	KG	11	Charter	.	781	2,782	2,818	12.04%	0.64%	4.10%	4.74%	78.49%	0.00%	0.00%
OR	Oregon Connections Academy	Scio	Connections Academy	2005	KG	12	Charter	2,268	2857	3,331	3,586	1.86%	1.47%	8.16%	1.26%	80.57%	0.35%	6.34%
OR	Baker Web Academy	Baker City	Independent	2009	KG	12	Charter	273	259	339	419	0.77%	1.16%	6.56%	0.00%	88.80%	0.00%	2.70%
OR	Clackamas Web Academy	Clackamas	Independent	2005	01	12	Charter	449	450	458	445	1.56%	2.44%	7.78%	0.44%	84.22%	1.11%	2.44%
OR	Estacada Web Academy	Estacada	Independent	2008	KG	12	Charter	318	315	353	367	0.95%	0.95%	14.60%	1.27%	79.68%	0.32%	2.22%

State	School Name	City	EMO Name or Independent	School Descriptive Information								Ethnicity of Students - Percentage by Ethnic Category -						
				Year Founded	From Grade	To Grade	Charter School/District School	2010-11 Enrollment	2011-12 Enrollment	2012-13 Enrollment	2013-14 Enrollment	Am. Indian	Asian	Hispanic	Black	White	Pacific Islander	Mixed Race
OR	Gresham-Barlow Web Academy	Gresham	Independent	2009	06	12	Charter	171	217	420	441	0.92%	0.00%	6.91%	1.38%	87.56%	0.00%	3.23%
OR	Oregon Virtual Education - West	Gaston	Independent	2012	7	12	Charter	.			72	2.70%	0.00%	5.40%	0.00%	89.20%	0.00%	2.70%
OR	Paisley School	Paisley	Independent	2004	KG	12	Charter	121	146	223	212	1.37%	6.16%	12.33%	2.74%	75.34%	0.00%	2.05%
OR	Sheridan AllPrep Academy	Sheridan	Independent	2009	KG	12	Charter	74	91	61	89	0.00%	1.10%	10.99%	1.10%	82.42%	0.00%	4.40%
OR	Silvies River Charter School	Burns	Independent	2009	KG	12	Charter	49	54	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	3.70%	0.00%	83.33%	1.85%	11.11%
OR	Insight School of Oregon	Portland	Insight Schools	2012	KG	12	Charter	.	0	424	520	7.04%	0.70%	13.38%	2.82%	72.54%	0.00%	3.52%
OR	Oregon Virtual Academy	North Bend	K12 Inc.	2008	KG	10	Charter	516	1333	1,716	1,618	3.15%	1.73%	5.40%	1.65%	85.97%	0.53%	1.58%
PA	Commonwealth Connections Academy	Harrisburg	Connections Academy	2003	KG	12	Charter	4,424	5550	6,667	8,037	0.36%	0.83%	7.84%	14.58%	71.60%	0.05%	4.74%
PA	21st Century Cyber Charter School	Downingtown	Independent	2001	06	12	Charter	686	746	754	846	0.27%	1.61%	5.90%	8.58%	82.57%	0.27%	0.80%
PA	Achievement House Cyber Charter School	Exton	Independent	2004	07	12	Charter	536	531	802	930	0.56%	0.56%	8.29%	15.82%	73.07%	0.00%	1.69%
PA	ACT Academy Cyber CS	Philadelphia	Independent	2012	9	12	Charter	.		89	157	0.64%	0.00%	0.00%	87.90%	7.64%	0.00%	3.82%
PA	ASPIRA Bilingual Cyber Charter School	Philadelphia	Independent	2011	KG	12	Charter	.	64	148	150	0.00%	1.56%	92.19%	3.13%	3.13%	0.00%	0.00%
PA	Central Pennsylvania Digital Learning Foundation Ch	Altoona	Independent	2002	KG	12	Charter	127	112	114	147	1.79%	0.00%	0.89%	0.00%	97.32%	0.00%	0.00%
PA	Education Plus Academy Cyber CS	Wayne	Independent	2012	KG	9	Charter	.		220	487	0.41%	0.41%	16.43%	54.41%	21.97%	0.00%	6.37%
PA	Esperanza Cyber CS	Philadelphia	Independent	2012	6	12	Charter	727	750	141	152	0.00%	0.00%	94.74%	4.61%	0.66%	0.00%	0.00%
PA	PA Leadership Charter School	West Chester	Independent	2004	PK	12	Charter	2,155	2242	2,324	2,428	0.31%	1.47%	6.33%	11.37%	76.49%	0.18%	3.84%
PA	PA Virtual Charter School	Norristown	Independent	2001	KG	12	Charter	3,353	3414	3,198	2,721	0.73%	1.44%	3.02%	12.62%	77.89%	0.15%	4.16%
PA	SusQ-Cyber Charter School	Bloomsburg	Independent	1998	09	12	Charter	192	178	155	153	0.00%	0.00%	2.81%	5.62%	90.45%	0.00%	1.12%
PA	The Pennsylvania Cyber Charter School	Midland	Independent	2000	KG	12	Charter	9,651	10559	10,434	10,389	0.19%	0.92%	2.27%	8.82%	83.63%	0.00%	4.18%
PA	Agora Cyber Charter School	Wayne	K12 Inc.	2005	KG	12	Charter	536	8142	9,175	9,490	0.63%	0.90%	7.61%	26.53%	60.96%	0.17%	3.21%
PA	PA Distance Learning Charter School	Sewickley	White Hat Management	2004	KG	12	Charter	327	323	358	509	1.86%	1.86%	4.64%	18.27%	70.90%	0.31%	2.17%
SC	South Carolina Connections Academy	Columbia	Connections Academy	2008	KG	12	Charter	1,639	2794	2,885	3,317	0.50%	0.39%	4.55%	12.92%	77.81%	0.00%	3.83%
SC	Provost Academy South Carolina	Columbia	Edison Learning	2009	09	12	Chater	1,454	-9	872	771	1.29%	0.57%	0.79%	18.75%	77.59%	0.00%	0.00%
SC	South Carolina Calvert Academy	Columbia	Independent	2009	KG	08	District	277	246	306	232	0.00%	3.25%	4.07%	26.02%	66.26%	0.00%	0.41%
SC	Cyber Academy of South Carolina	Greenville	K12 Inc.	2013	KG	10	Charter	.	458	.	458	0.40%	0.80%	2.50%	28.50%	62.80%	0.00%	5.00%
SC	South Carolina Virtual Charter School	Columbia	K12 Inc.	2008	KG	12	Charter	1,865	3495	3,342	3,660	0.26%	0.46%	2.86%	16.65%	78.66%	0.14%	0.97%
TN	Tennessee Virtual On-Line School	Lebanon	Independent	2013	KG	12	District	.		18	15	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	83.00%	0.00%	0.00%
TN	Bradley County Virtual School	Cleveland	Independent	2013	7	12	District	.	34	34	34	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	91.20%	0.00%	0.00%
TN	Robertson County Virtual School	Springfield	Independent	2013	06	12	District	.		19	14	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	#####	0.00%	0.00%
TN	Tennessee Online Public School	Bristol	Independent	2013	09	12	District	.		58	58	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	89.70%	0.00%	0.00%
TN	Hamilton County Virtual School	Chattanooga	K12 Inc.	2013	KG	12	District	.		22	22	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	#####	0.00%	0.00%
TN	Memphis Virtual School	Memphis	K12 Inc.	2013	06	12	District	.		111	111	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	90.00%	10.00%	0.00%	0.00%
TN	Metropolitan Nashville Virtual School	Nashville	K12 Inc.	2011	01	12	District	.	16	85	85	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	24.70%	74.50%	0.00%	0.59%
TN	Tennessee Virtual Academy (closed in 2015)	Maynardville	K12 Inc.	2011	KG	08	Charter	.	1430	1,432	3,014	0.00%	0.00%	4.80%	13.80%	81.00%	0.00%	0.00%
TX	Texas Connections Academy @ Houston	Houston	Connections Academy	2009	03	10	Charter	.	2463	3,013	3,887	0.89%	3.17%	22.78%	12.79%	56.52%	0.49%	3.37%
TX	Advanced Virtual Academy-Houston	Houston	Independent	2009	09	12	Charter	.	329	374	315	0.00%	0.61%	58.97%	37.99%	2.13%	0.00%	0.30%
TX	Texarkana ISD Virtual Academy	Texarkana	Independent	2011	03	06	District	.	81	109	135	1.23%	7.41%	14.81%	8.64%	56.79%	0.00%	11.11%
UT	Utah Connections Academy	Woods Cross	Connections Academy	2011	KG	12	Charter	.	272	450	682	0.74%	2.94%	5.51%	1.47%	81.99%	1.10%	6.25%
UT	Alianza Academy	Salt Lake City	Independent	2011	KG	12	Charter	.	463	473	426	0.86%	2.38%	30.67%	1.94%	60.04%	0.86%	3.24%
UT	Mountain Heights Academy (formerly Open High Sch	Salt Lake City	Independent	2009	09	12	Charter	120	281	286	351	0.00%	0.36%	6.41%	0.71%	88.61%	0.36%	3.56%
UT	Utah Virtual Academy	Murray	K12 Inc.	2008	KG	12	Charter	.	1949	1,991	1,923	0.72%	1.08%	5.08%	2.10%	90.25%	0.77%	0.00%
WA	Lewis River Academy-Woodland	Woodland	Independent	2011	KG	12	District	.	33	63	58	0.00%	0.00%	3.03%	0.00%	93.94%	0.00%	3.03%
WA	Marysville On-Line Move Up Program	Marysville	Advanced Academics	2011	09	12	District	146	157	90	93	2.55%	0.00%	14.01%	0.64%	71.97%	0.64%	10.19%
WA	Ck Online Academy	Bremerton	Independent	2010	09	12	District	13	27	19	23	0.00%	11.11%	7.41%	0.00%	55.56%	0.00%	25.93%
WA	Eastmont Columbia Virtual Academy	Valley	Independent	2006	KG	12	District	86	14	35	0	0.00%	0.00%	7.14%	0.00%	92.86%	0.00%	0.00%
WA	Federal Way Internet Academy	Federal Way	Independent	2009	KG	12	District	227	242	271	247	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
WA	Kelso Virtual Academy	Kelso	Independent	2010	09	12	District	15	11	14	18	0.00%	0.00%	9.09%	0.00%	90.91%	0.00%	0.00%
WA	Mid-Columbia Partnership Virtual School-Kennewick	Kennewick	Independent	2010	KG	12	District	225	225	241	242	0.89%	2.22%	6.22%	1.33%	89.33%	0.00%	0.00%
WA	Oasis K-12 (Orcas Island District)	Eastsound	Independent	2009	KG	12	District	261	253	418	451	0.00%	3.95%	1.58%	1.58%	92.89%	0.00%	0.00%
WA	Olympia Regional Learning Academy (ORLA)	Olympia	Independent	2006	KG	12	District	431	371	324	231	1.35%	0.27%	1.35%	0.00%	95.69%	0.00%	1.35%
WA	Vancouver Virtual Learning Academy	Vancouver	Independent		06	12	District	63	76	89	152	0.00%	3.95%	17.11%	2.63%	72.37%	1.32%	2.63%

State	School Name	City	EMO Name or Independent	School Descriptive Information							Ethnicity of Students - Percentage by Ethnic Category -							
				Year Founded	From Grade	To Grade	Charter School/District School	2010-11 Enrollment	2011-12 Enrollment	2012-13 Enrollment	2013-14 Enrollment	Am. Indian	Asian	Hispanic	Black	White	Pacific Islander	Mixed Race
WA	Insight School of Washington- Quillayute District	Forks	Insight Schools	2006	09	12	Charter	2,978	2031	2,029	1,869	2.81%	2.81%	10.98%	5.47%	74.69%	1.13%	2.12%
WA	Columbia Virtual Academy – Kettle Falls	Kettle Falls	K12 Inc.	2011	KG	12	District	158	185	178	167	1.08%	0.00%	4.32%	3.24%	90.81%	0.00%	0.54%
WA	Columbia Virtual Academy-Cascade	Valley	K12 Inc.	2012	KG	12	District	.		63	0	0.00%	0.00%	9.50%	1.60%	88.90%	0.00%	0.00%
WA	Columbia Virtual Academy-Lopez	Lopez Island	K12 Inc.	2012	KG	12	District	.		10	10	0.00%	0.00%	20.00%	0.00%	70.00%	0.00%	10.00%
WA	Columbia Virtual Academy-Orient	Orient	K12 Inc.	2011	KG	08	District	111	253	166	82	0.79%	0.79%	4.35%	4.74%	86.96%	0.79%	1.58%
WA	Columbia Virtual Academy-SCSD	Stevenson	K12 Inc.	2011	KG	12	District	.	177	110	106	0.00%	0.56%	8.47%	0.00%	80.79%	0.00%	10.17%
WA	Columbia Virtual Academy-Valley	Valley	K12 Inc.	2010	KG	08	District	1,003	1149	760	712	0.17%	1.48%	6.18%	0.44%	88.86%	0.17%	2.70%
WA	Freeman Columbia Virtual Academy	Rockford	K12 Inc.	2011	KG	12	District	47	46	10	0	0.00%	0.00%	6.52%	0.00%	89.13%	0.00%	4.35%
WA	HomeLink/Columbia Virtual Academy-Walla Walla	Walla Walla	K12 Inc.	2010	KG	12	District	167	132	99	86	0.00%	1.52%	6.82%	0.00%	91.67%	0.00%	0.00%
WA	iQ Academy Washington	Vancouver	K12 Inc.	2008	06	12	District	389	478	472	487	1.05%	1.46%	8.79%	3.56%	81.17%	0.21%	3.77%
WA	Onalaska Virtual School/CVA Onalaska	Onalaska	K12 Inc.	2011	KG	12	District	102	102	70	46	0.00%	2.94%	1.96%	0.00%	93.14%	0.00%	1.96%
WA	Three Rivers Home Link	Richland	K12 Inc.	2010	KG	12	District	286	383	395	379	1.04%	1.83%	6.79%	1.83%	87.47%	0.26%	0.78%
WA	Washington Virtual Academy -Monroe	Monroe	K12 Inc.	2009	09	12	District	838	901	591	527	1.00%	3.11%	10.32%	3.88%	75.25%	0.33%	6.10%
WA	Washington Virtual Academy Omak Elementary	Omak	K12 Inc.	2006	KG	05	District	437	498	1,348	1,531	2.01%	3.01%	10.84%	3.41%	71.89%	0.40%	8.43%
WA	Washington Virtual Academy Omak High School	Omak	K12 Inc.	2006	09	12	District	162	236	485	741	0.42%	0.42%	13.56%	1.27%	76.27%	0.42%	7.63%
WA	Washington Virtual Academy Omak Middle School	Omak	K12 Inc.	2006	06	08	District	355	409	1,155	1,329	2.20%	1.96%	11.74%	2.20%	76.77%	0.24%	4.89%
WI	Wisconsin Connections Academy	Appleton	Connections Academy	2002	KG	08	Charter	.	-2	734	749	0.90%	1.50%	4.30%	2.80%	90.50%	0.00%	0.00%
WI	21st Century eSchool	Middleton	Independent	2010	KG	12	Charter	.	79	93	443	0.00%	2.53%	6.33%	0.00%	88.61%	0.00%	2.53%
WI	Advanced Learning Academy of Wisconsin	Barron	Independent	2011	PK	12	Charter	.	40	91	46	2.50%	0.00%	10.00%	0.00%	80.00%	0.00%	7.50%
WI	Appleton eSchool	Appleton	Independent	2002	09	12	Charter	.	22	25	28	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	#####	0.00%	0.00%
WI	Bridges Virtual Academy/Charter School	Merrill	Independent	2012	KG	12	Charter	.		456	755	0.40%	2.10%	1.30%	2.50%	91.30%	0.10%	2.30%
WI	Cameron Academy of Virtual Education (CAVE)	Cameron	Independent	2010	KG	12	Charter	.	75	92	123	0.00%	0.00%	1.33%	1.33%	97.33%	0.00%	0.00%
WI	CRE8 Charter School	Gillett	Independent	2011	PK	05	Charter	.	40	47	31	2.50%	0.00%	2.50%	0.00%	95.00%	0.00%	0.00%
WI	George D. Warriner High School for Personalized Learning	Sheboygan	Independent	2007	09	12	Charter	.	115	123	128	0.00%	0.87%	9.57%	0.87%	87.83%	0.00%	0.87%
WI	George D. Warriner Middle School	Sheboygan	Independent	2011	06	08	Charter	.	27	43	55	3.70%	0.00%	14.81%	3.70%	77.78%	0.00%	0.00%
WI	Gillett's Occupation and Leadership (GOAL)	Gillett	Independent	2011	06	12	Charter	.	36	22	36	2.78%	0.00%	5.56%	0.00%	91.67%	0.00%	0.00%
WI	Hayward Center for Individualized Learning HACIL V	Hayward	Independent	2003	KG	12	Charter	.	102	115	114	0.00%	4.90%	0.00%	0.00%	92.16%	0.00%	2.94%
WI	Island City Virtual Academy	Cumberland	Independent	2011	07	08	Charter	.	20	12	13	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	#####	0.00%	0.00%
WI	Janesville Virtual Academy	Janesville	Independent	2007	09	12	Charter	.	23	48	65	0.00%	0.00%	8.70%	13.04%	73.91%	0.00%	4.35%
WI	JEDI Virtual High School	Whitewater	Independent	2004	06	12	Charter	.	-2	58	72	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	90.00%	10.00%	0.00%
WI	Kenosha eSchool	Kenosha	Independent	2007	06	12	Charter	.	89	136	151	2.25%	1.12%	12.36%	6.74%	76.40%	0.00%	1.12%
WI	KM Global Charter School for Leadership and Innovation	Wales	Independent	2011	09	12	Charter	.	30	45	43	0.00%	3.33%	10.00%	0.00%	86.67%	0.00%	0.00%
WI	Link2Learn Virtual Charter School	Chetek	Independent	2011	PK	12	Charter	.	26	57	47	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	#####	0.00%	0.00%
WI	Milwaukee Community Cyber (MC2) High School	Milwaukee	Independent	2010	09	12	Charter	.	151	171	201	0.66%	0.00%	24.50%	56.95%	17.88%	0.00%	0.00%
WI	Monroe Independent Virtual Charter High School	Monroe	Independent	2003	09	12	Charter	.	187	176	123	1.60%	2.67%	4.28%	4.81%	85.56%	0.00%	1.07%
WI	Monroe Virtual Middle School	Monroe	Independent	2006	06	08	Charter	.	35	22	23	14.29%	0.00%	0.00%	11.43%	71.43%	0.00%	2.86%
WI	Portage Virtual School (closed in 2014)	Portage	Independent	2012	07	12	Charter	.		32	30	0.00%	0.00%	10.00%	3.30%	86.70%	0.00%	0.00%
WI	Rural Virtual Academy	Medford	Independent	2005	PK	08	Charter	.	40	125	175	0.00%	0.00%	7.50%	7.50%	67.50%	0.00%	17.50%
WI	Wauwatosa Virtual Academy	Lanesboro	Independent	2013	6	12	Charter	.	.	.	18	5.60%	0.00%	5.60%	16.70%	55.60%	0.00%	16.70%
WI	iForward (formerly Insight School of Wisconsin)	Grantsburg	Insight Schools	2007	09	12	Charter	.	655	407	492	2.29%	0.76%	4.89%	9.31%	80.76%	0.31%	1.68%
WI	Beloit Virtual School	Beloit	K12 Inc.	2011	PK	12	District	.	15	18	16	0.00%	0.00%	13.33%	6.67%	80.00%	0.00%	0.00%
WI	Wisconsin Virtual Learning (WVL)	Fredonia	K12 Inc.	2003	PK	12	Charter	.	611	725	629	0.33%	1.80%	7.36%	4.91%	80.03%	0.16%	5.40%
WI	iQ Academy Wisconsin/ eAchieve Academy	Waukesha	KC Distance Learning Inc.	2004	06	12	Charter	.	773	901	906	1.68%	1.03%	5.43%	8.54%	81.63%	0.00%	1.68%
WY	Wyoming Connections Academy (WyCA)	Cody	Connections Academy	2009	K	12	Charter	112	265	323	323	1.60%	0.90%	7.30%	1.00%	87.00%	0.40%	1.80%
WY	Wyoming Virtual Academy (WyVA)	Lusk	K12 Inc.		09	12	Charter	841	662	662	640	1.60%	0.90%	7.00%	1.00%	83.00%	0.40%	1.80%
WY	Campbell County Virtual School (CCVS)	Gillette			K	06	District	82	50	50	50	1.40%	0.00%	7.00%	0.90%	90.00%	0.70%	0.00%
WY	Wyoming e-Academy of Virtual Education (WeAVE)	FT. Washakie		2012	09	12	District	176	176	200	200	1.60%	0.90%	7.30%	1.00%	87.00%	0.40%	1.80%

Appendix D. Measures of School Performance: State Performance Ratings, Adequate Yearly Progress Status, and Graduation Rates

State	School Name	State Rating 2011-12	Rating Status 2011-12	State Rating 2012-13	Rating Status 2012-13	State Rating 2013-14	Rating Status 2013-14	Graduation Rate 2011	Graduation Rate 2012	Graduation Rate 2013
AK	Fast Track Virtual (formerly Ketchikan Correspondence)	AYP Level 5 (Fifth Year)	Academically unacceptable	Star Rating= 3/5; ASPI Score: 72.71/100	Academically acceptable	29.16% students below proficient in standardized-based reading assessment; 26.67% students below proficient in math	Academically acceptable	NA	21.43	
AK	Alaska Virtual Academy	Met AYP	Academically acceptable	Star Rating= 3/5; ASPI Score: 87.4/100	Academically acceptable	8.62% students below proficient in standardized-based reading assessment; 33.04% students below proficient in math	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	
AK	Fairbanks BEST	AYP Level 1	Academically acceptable	Star Rating= 3/5; ASPI Score: 70.13/100	Academically acceptable	8.62% students below proficient in standardized-based reading assessment; 33.04% students below proficient in math	Academically acceptable	39	45	
AR	Arkansas Virtual Academy Elementary	4 - Schools Exceeding Standards	Academically acceptable	Needs Improvement School	Academically unacceptable	Needs Improvement	Academically unacceptable	NA	NA	
AR	Arkansas Virtual Academy Middle (Arkansas Virtual Academy JR)	4 - Schools Exceeding Standards	Not rated	Needs Improvement School	Academically unacceptable	Needs Improvement	Academically unacceptable	NA	NA	
AZ	Hope High School Online	D-ALT	Academically unacceptable	AMO status= met	Academically acceptable	P (AMO not met)	Academically unacceptable	20.3	28.3	11
AZ	Arizona Connections Academy	C	Academically unacceptable	C; AMO status= not met	Academically unacceptable	AMO not met (Focus School)	Academically unacceptable	50.65	46.2	38
AZ	Humanities and Sciences Academy Arizona			AMO status= not met	Academically unacceptable	P (AMO not met)	Academically unacceptable		38.3	31
AZ	Arizona Online Instruction (formerly TAPBI)			AMO status= met	Academically acceptable	P; AMO status= met	Academically acceptable		95	84
AZ	Chandler Online Academy	NR	Not rated	P; AMO not met	Academically unacceptable	P; AMO not met	Academically unacceptable			100
AZ	Colorado River Union High School District-online			P; AMO met	Academically acceptable	P; AMO met	Academically acceptable			31
AZ	EdOptions AOI Program (EdOptions High School Online)	NR	Not rated	C; AMO status= not met	Academically unacceptable	P (AMO not met)	Academically unacceptable	50	70.09	15
AZ	Florence Virtual Academy	D	Academically unacceptable	NR; AMO status= not met	Academically unacceptable	P (AMO not met)	Academically unacceptable	40	81.09	49
AZ	Gilbert Global Academy High School			P; AMO met	Academically acceptable	P; AMO met	Academically acceptable			65
AZ	Havasonline			AMO met	Academically acceptable	AMO met	Academically acceptable			8
AZ	International Charter School of Arizona, Inc. (closed in 2014)			C (Avg level of performance)	Academically acceptable	C (Avg level of performance)	Academically acceptable			
AZ	iSchool2020			AMO status= met	Academically acceptable	P; AMO met	Academically acceptable		25	27
AZ	Marana Distance Learning	Growth Points=26 ; Composite Points=64 ; Total Points=90 ; Letter Grade: D	Academically unacceptable	AMO status= not met	Academically unacceptable	P (AMO not met)	Academically unacceptable	10.53	11.8	5
AZ	Maricopa Online			AMO status= not met	Academically unacceptable	Priority School	Academically unacceptable		32.5	
AZ	Mesa Distance Learning Program	Growth Points=48 ; Composite Points=61 ; Total Points=109 ; Letter Grade: D	Academically unacceptable	AMO status= not met	Academically unacceptable	AMO not met (Priority School)	Academically unacceptable	0.68	0	5
AZ	Mingus Online Academy			P; AMO met	Academically acceptable	P; AMO met	Academically acceptable			61
AZ	Payson Virtual Academy			P; AMO met	Academically acceptable	P; AMO met	Academically acceptable			24
AZ	Peoria Ecampus	NR	Not rated	P; AMO met	Academically acceptable	P; AMO met	Academically acceptable			100
AZ	Pillar Academy Online			AMO status= met	Academically acceptable	AMO status= met	Academically acceptable		66.7	44
AZ	Primavera-Online	C-ALT	Academically unacceptable	C-ALT ; AMO status= not met	Academically unacceptable	P (AMO not met)	Academically unacceptable	74.97	77.8	77
AZ	Pvonline	Growth Points=79 ; Composite Points=49 ; Total Points=128 ; Letter Grade: D	Academically unacceptable	P; AMO status= not met	Academically unacceptable	P; AMO status= not met	Academically unacceptable			71
AZ	Red Rock Academy			F; AMO status= met	Academically acceptable	P; AMO met	Academically acceptable			46
AZ	Rsd Computerized Plus High School			F; AMO status= met	Academically acceptable	F; AMO status= met	Academically acceptable		33.3	35
AZ	RSD Computerized Plus Online Program	D-ALT	Academically unacceptable	NR; AMO status= met	Academically acceptable	P; AMO met	Academically acceptable	38.32	33.3	35
AZ	San Pedro Valley Online Academy			P (AMO met)	Academically acceptable	P (AMO met)	Academically acceptable			44
AZ	Scottsdale Online Learning			P (AMO met)	Academically acceptable	P (AMO met)	Academically acceptable			48
AZ	Sunnyside Online Success Academy					P	Academically unacceptable			
AZ	Taylton Virtual Academy of Arizona	NR	Not rated	NR; AMO status= met	Academically acceptable	P	Academically unacceptable	NA	N/A	
AZ	Tusd - Distance Learning Program	Growth Points=69 ; Composite Points=63 ; Total Points=132 ; Letter Grade: D	Academically unacceptable	AMO status= met	Academically acceptable	P (AMO not met)	Academically unacceptable	14.29	58.8	35
AZ	Vail Digital Learning Program	NR	Not rated	AMO status= met	Academically acceptable	P (AMO met)	Academically acceptable	100	0	100
AZ	Wmi On Line			P (AMO met)	Academically acceptable	P (AMO met)	Academically acceptable			44
AZ	Yuma Online Distance Academy			P (AMO met)	Academically acceptable	P (AMO met)	Academically acceptable			
AZ	Leading Edge Online Academy					P	Academically unacceptable			
AZ	E-institute - Avondale			B-Alt (AMO met)	Academically acceptable	B-Alt (AMO met)	Academically acceptable			30
AZ	E-Institute at Acoma			B-Alt (AMO met)	Academically acceptable	B-Alt (AMO met)	Academically acceptable			61
AZ	E-institute At Union Hills			B-Alt (AMO not met)	Academically unacceptable	B-Alt (AMO not met)	Academically unacceptable			69

State	School Name	State Rating 2011-12	Rating Status 2011-12	State Rating 2012-13	Rating Status 2012-13	State Rating 2013-14	Rating Status 2013-14	Graduation Rate 2011	Graduation Rate 2012	Graduation Rate 2013
AZ	E-Institute Charter High School at Buckeye			B-Alt (AMO met)	Academically acceptable	B-Alt (AMO met)	Academically acceptable			56
AZ	E-Institute Charter High School at Grovers			P (AMO met)	Academically acceptable	P (AMO met)	Academically acceptable			96
AZ	E-Institute Charter High School at Metro			C-Alt (AMO met)	Academically acceptable	C-Alt (AMO met)	Academically acceptable			23
AZ	Taylor Virtual High School of Arizona	D	Academically unacceptable	AMO status= not met	Academically unacceptable	P (AMO not met)	Academically unacceptable	11.11	42.6	20
AZ	Leona Advanced Virtual Academy	NR	Not rated	NA	Not rated	Not rated	Not rated	28.57	0	
AZ	Mercury Online Academy of Arizona			AMO status= met	Academically acceptable	P (AMO met)	Academically acceptable		N/A	100
AZ	Mosaica Online High School of Arizona (opened in 2011)			Not rated	Not rated	Not rated	Not rated			
AZ	Mosaica Online of Arizona (opened in 2011)			Not rated	Not rated	Not rated	Not rated			
AZ	Pinnacle Virtual High School Tempe West	C-ALT	Academically unacceptable	NR; AMO status= not met	Academically unacceptable	P (AMO not met)	Academically unacceptable	25.67	16.4	20
AZ	Arizona Virtual Academy	Growth Points=44 ; Composite Points=72 ; Total Points=116 ; Letter Grade: D	Academically unacceptable	C; AMO status= not met	Academically unacceptable	P (AMO not met)	Academically unacceptable	30.32	28.7	28
AZ	Sequoia Choice School Arizona Distance Learning Sc	D	Academically unacceptable	B; AMO status= met	Academically acceptable	P (AMO met)	Academically acceptable	37.23	37	28
AZ	Life Skills of Arizona Online Education Academy			P (AMO met)	Academically acceptable	P (AMO met)	Academically acceptable			
CA	California (Southern) Connections Academy (formerly Capistrano Connections Academy)	Met 13 of 20 AYP Criteria	Academically unacceptable	Met 16 of 24 AYP Criteria; Failed to meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	57.27	55.06	
CA	Central California Connections Academy	API Growth 776; Met 4 of 5 AYP criteria	Academically acceptable	API Growth 758; Met 11 of 11 AYP Criteria	Academically acceptable	Not rated	Not rated	NA	69.23	
CA	Golden Valley Virtual Charter	+8 API, Met 15 of 17 AYP criteria	Academically unacceptable	Met 9 of 17 AYP Criteria; Failed to meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	NA	29.41	
CA	Clovis Online School (Clovis Online Charter)	Met 4 of 5 AYP criteria	Academically unacceptable	Met 8 of 11 AYP Criteria; Failed to meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	50	36.23	
CA	Dunlap Leadership Academy					Not rated	Not rated			
CA	EDUHSD Virtual Academy at Shenandoah			Met 3 of 4 AYP Criteria; Failed to meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated		92.31	
CA	Tehama eLearning Academy (TeLA)			No AYP and API report	Not rated	Not rated	Not rated		NA	
CA	Ihigh Virtual Academy			Met 4 of 4 AYP Criteria; Met AYP	Academically acceptable	Not rated	Not rated		NA	
CA	Juniper Ridge Virtual Academy Charter			Met 3 of 4 AYP Criteria; Failed to meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated		NA	
CA	Los Angeles County Online High School	Met 2 of 6 AYP criteria	Academically unacceptable	Met 0 of 12 AYP Criteria; Failed to meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	21.95	25.64	
CA	National University Academy			Met 4 of 4 AYP Criteria; Met AYP	Academically acceptable	Not rated	Not rated		33.33	
CA	Rai Online Charter			Met 3 of 7 AYP Criteria; Failed to meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated		100	
CA	Riverside Virtual			Met 3 of 5 AYP Criteria; Failed to meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated		27.59	
CA	San Diego Virtual (Move Charter)	Met 2 of 4 AYP criteria	Academically unacceptable	Met 2 of 5 AYP Criteria; Failed to meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	NA	30.77	
CA	Sky Mountain Charter			Met 12 of 19 AYP Criteria; Failed to meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated		89.47	
CA	California Connections Academy @Ripon					Not rated	Not rated			
CA	California Virtual Academy at Fresno			Met 8 of 17 AYP Criteria; Failed to meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated			
CA	California Virtual Academy at Jamestown	-17 API, Met 7 of 11 AYP criteria	Academically unacceptable	Met 2 of 5 AYP Criteria; Failed to meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	NA	65	
CA	California Virtual Academy at Kings	+9 API, Met 11 of 17 AYP criteria	Academically unacceptable	Met 6 of 13 AYP Criteria; Failed to meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	NA	36.67	
CA	California Virtual Academy at Los Angeles	+4 API, Met 18 of 30 AYP criteria	Academically unacceptable	Met 17 of 28 AYP Criteria; Failed to meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	26.97	42.62	
CA	California Virtual Academy at Los Angeles High	Met 4 of 4 AYP Criteria	Academically acceptable	Met 4 of 4 AYP Criteria; Met AYP	Academically acceptable	Not rated	Not rated	NA	9.09	
CA	California Virtual Academy at Maricopa			Met 12 of 21 AYP Criteria; Failed to Meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated			
CA	California Virtual Academy at San Diego	+5 API, Met 14 of 22 AYP criteria	Academically unacceptable	Met 12 of 24 AYP Criteria; Failed to meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	23.12	37.17	
CA	California Virtual Academy at San Joaquin	-6 API, Met 10 of 13 AYP criteria	Academically unacceptable	Met 8 of 17 AYP Criteria; Failed to meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	25.53	NA	
CA	California Virtual Academy at San Mateo	+15 API, Met 12 of 17 AYP criteria	Academically unacceptable	Met 7 of 14 AYP Criteria; Failed to meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	30.36	42.62	
CA	California Virtual Academy at Santa Ysabel	Met 3 of 4 AYP Criteria	Academically unacceptable	Met 4 of 4 AYP Criteria; Met AYP	Academically acceptable	Not rated	Not rated	NA	14.29	
CA	California Virtual Academy at Sonoma	-8 API, Met 7 of 15 AYP criteria	Academically unacceptable	Met 5 of 10 AYP Criteria; Failed to meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	22.68	40.22	
CA	California Virtual Academy at Sutter	-11 API, Met 6 of 13 AYP criteria	Academically unacceptable	No AYP and API reports	Not rated	Not rated	Not rated	28.81	29.31	
CA	iQ Academy California—Los Angeles	+11 API, Met 12 of 13 AYP Criteria	Academically unacceptable	Met 7 of 15 AYP Criteria; Failed to meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	50	15.79	
CA	Southern California Online Academy			Met 13 of 13 AYP Criteria; Met AYP	Academically acceptable	Not rated	Not rated			
CA	Mercury On-line Academy of Southern California (closed 2014)	-109 API, Met 3 of 7 AYP criteria	Academically unacceptable	Met 5 of 5 AYP Criteria; Met AYP	Academically acceptable	Not rated	Not rated	NA	NA	
CA	Pivot Charter School - North Valley (closed 2014)	Met 4 of 5 AYP criteria	Academically unacceptable	Met 2 of 4 AYP Criteria; Failed to meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	NA	33.33	
CA	Pivot Charter School - Riverside County	Met 4 of 4 AYP criteria	Academically acceptable	Met 4 of 4 AYP Criteria; Met AYP	Academically acceptable	Not rated	Not rated	NA	NA	
CA	Pivot Charter School - San Diego	Met 4 of 4 AYP criteria; Met AYP	Academically acceptable	Met 2 of 5 AYP Criteria; Failed to meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	29.41	43.24	

State	School Name	State Rating 2011-12	Rating Status 2011-12	State Rating 2012-13	Rating Status 2012-13	State Rating 2013-14	Rating Status 2013-14	Graduation Rate 2011	Graduation Rate 2012	Graduation Rate 2013
CA	Pivot Online Charter - North Bay	Met 4 of 4 AYP criteria	Academically acceptable	Met 2 of 4 AYP Criteria; Failed to meet AYP; 538 Grwoth API	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	NA	7.14	
CO	Colorado Connections Academy	Academic Achievement=Approaching; 60.4%; 9.1 out of 15 points Academic Growth=Approaching; 50%; 17.5 points out of 35 points Academic Growth Gaps= Approaching; 50%; 7.5 out of 35 points Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness=Approaching; 41.7%; 14	Academically unacceptable	Improvement (at or above 47% - below 60%)	Academically acceptable	% Prof/Adv.: 36.97 in Math; 69.92 in Reading; 47.90 in Writing	Academically unacceptable	55.1	41.6	50.4
CO	Provost Academy Colorado (Colorado Provost Academy)	Academic Achievement=Does Not Meet; 31.3%; 4.7 out of 15 points Academic Growth=Approaching; 41.7%; 14.6 points out of 35 points Academic Growth Gaps= Approaching; 44.4%; 6.7 points out of 15 points Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness= Does Not	Academically unacceptable	Priority Improvement, 36.8%, 36.8/100 pts (at or above 33% - below 47%)	Academically unacceptable	% Prof/Adv.: 30.76 in Math; 52.70 in Reading; 37.77 in Writing	Academically unacceptable	4.9	18.3	18.6
CO	70 Online	Academic Achievement= 0 out of 0 points Academic Growth= 0 out of 0 points Academic Growth Gaps= 0 out of 0 points Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness= 0 out of 0 points Test Participation= Does not meet 95% Participation Rate Total= 0 out of 0	Academically unacceptable	Priority Improvement (at or above 33% - below 47%)	Academically unacceptable	Priority Improvement; % Prof/Adv.: 6.67 in Math; 56.67 in Reading; 30 in Writing	Academically unacceptable	NA	NA	30.8
CO	Academy Online High School	Academic Achievement= 0 out of 0 points Academic Growth= 0 out of 0 points Academic Growth Gaps= 0 out of 0 points Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness= 0 out of 0 points Test Participation= Meets 95% Participation Rate Total= 75.1%; 26.3 out of	Academically acceptable	Performance (at or above 60%)	Academically acceptable	NA	Not rated	36.8	NA	30.8
CO	ACHIEVE Online	Academic Achievement=Approaching; 37.5%; 5.6 out of 15 points Academic Growth=Does not Meet; 33.3%; 11.7 out of 35 points Academic Growth Gaps=0 out of 0 points Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness=Approaching; 50%; 17.5 out of 35 points Test Par	Academically unacceptable	Priority Improvement (at or above 33% - below 47%)	Academically unacceptable	Priority Improvement; % Prof/Adv.: 23.08 in Math; 65.38 in Reading; 31.73 in Writing	Academically unacceptable	NA	16.7	25
CO	APS Online	Academic Achievement=Does not meet; 50%; 3.8 out of 15 points Academic Growth=41.0 out of 0 points Academic Growth Gaps=0 out of 0 points Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness=Does not meet; 25%; 8.8 out of 35 points Test Participation= Meets 95%	Academically unacceptable	Alternative Education Campus: Performance	Academically acceptable	Alternative Education Campus: Performance, 31.7%, 11.1/35 pts.	Academically acceptable	14.3	12.3	29.3
CO	Boulder Universal	Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness=Approaching; 50%; 17.5 out of 35 points Test Participation= Does not Meet 95% Participation Rate Total= 50%; 17.5 out of 35 points	Academically unacceptable	Priority Improvement (at or above 33% - below 47%)	Academically unacceptable	Priority Improvement, % Prof/Adv.: 33.33 in Math; 33.33 in Reading; 26.67 in Writing	Academically unacceptable	52.9	52.1	41.9
CO	Branson School Online	Academic Achievement=Meets; 66.7%; 10 out of 15 points Academic Growth=Meets; 63.9%; 22.4 out of 35 points Academic Growth Gaps=Approaching; 57.1%; 8.6 points out of 15 points Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness=Does not meet; 32.1%; 11.2 out of	Academically unacceptable	Improvement (at or above 47% - below 60%)	Academically acceptable	% Prof/Adv.: 44.76 in Math; 75.40 in Reading; 55.65 in Writing	Academically unacceptable	33.6	38.5	57.4
CO	Center Virtual Academy	NA	Not rated	Turnaround (below 33%)	Academically unacceptable	NA	Not rated	NA	NA	25
CO	College Pathways (The Classical Academy College Pathways)	Academic Achievement=Exceeds; 96.9%; 14.5 out of 15 points Academic Growth=Exceeds; 87.5%; 30.6 out of 35 points Academic Growth Gaps=0 out of 0 points Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness=0 out of 35 points Test Participation= Meets 95% Partic	Academically acceptable	Performance (at or above 60%)	Academically acceptable	Performance; % Prof/Adv.: 95.59 in Reading; 88.24 in Writing	Academically acceptable	68.40	73.3	78.40

State	School Name	State Rating 2011-12	Rating Status 2011-12	State Rating 2012-13	Rating Status 2012-13	State Rating 2013-14	Rating Status 2013-14	Graduation Rate 2011	Graduation Rate 2012	Graduation Rate 2013
CO	Colorado Calvert Academy State Charter School	Academic Achievement=Approaching; 42.9%; 10.7 out of 25 points Academic Growth=Approaching; 50%; 25 out of 50 points Academic Growth Gaps=0 out of 0 points Test Participation= Meets 95% Participation Rate Total= 47.6%; 35.7 out of 75 points	Academically unacceptable	Priority Improvement; % Prof/Adv.: 39.29 in Math; 77.98 in Reading; 46.43 in Writing	Academically unacceptable	Priority Improvement;		NA	NA	
CO	Delta County Virtual Academy	NA	Not rated	Turnaround (below 33%)	Academically unacceptable	NA	Not rated	NA	NA	14.3
CO	Denver Online High School (Online High School)	Academic Achievement=Does not Meet; 25%; 3.8 out of 15 points Academic Growth=0 points out of 0 points Academic Growth Gaps= 0 points out of 0 points Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness= Approaching; 39.3%; 13.8 out of 35 points Test Participati	Academically unacceptable	Improvement (at or above 47% - below 60%)	Academically acceptable	Improvement; % Prof/Adv.: 30 in Math; 73.33 in Reading; 60 in Writing	Academically acceptable	39.2	46.3	47.6
CO	eDCSD Colorado Cyberschool	Academic Achievement=Approaching; 54.2%; 8.1 out of 15 points Academic Growth=Approaching; 58.3%; 20.4 out of 35 points Academic Growth Gaps= 0 points out of 0 points Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness=Approaching; 37.5%; 13.1 out of 35 points	Academically unacceptable	Turnaround (below 33%)	Academically unacceptable	% Prof/Adv.: 28.26 in Math; 56.52 in Reading; 42.39 in Writing	Academically unacceptable	23.5	42	
CO	Edison Academy	Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness=Meets; 62.5%; 21.9 out of 35 points Total= 62.6%; 21.9 out of 35 points	Academically acceptable	Performance (at or above 60%)	Academically acceptable	Performance, Prof/Adv.: 57.89 in Math; 100 in Reading; 84.21 in Writing	Academically acceptable	85	50	48.1
CO	Engage Online Academy			Improvement (at or above 47% - below 60%)	Academically acceptable	% Prof/Adv.: 11.90 in Math; 33.33 in Reading; 21.43 in Writing	Academically unacceptable		NA	20
CO	Falcon Virtual Academy	Academic Achievement=Approaching; 54.5%; 8.2 out of 15 points Academic Growth=Approaching; 37.5%; 13.1 out of 35 points Academic Growth Gaps= Does not meet; 4.7 points out of 15 points Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness=Approaching; 50%; 17.5 o	Academically unacceptable	Performance (at or above 60%)	Academically acceptable	Performance; % Prof/Adv.: 42.37 in Math; 76.27 in Reading; 54.48 in Writing	Academically acceptable	NA	85.7	92.3
CO	Grande River Virtual Academy	Academic Achievement=Approaching; 45.8%; 6.9 out of 15 points Academic Growth=Does Not Meet; 33.3%; 11.7 points out of 35 points Total= 37.2%; 18.6 out of 50 points	Academically unacceptable	Priority Improvement (at or above 33% - below 47%)	Academically unacceptable	NA	Not rated	NA	NA	40
CO	Guided Online Academic Learning (GOAL) Academy	Academic Achievement=Does Not Meet; 25%; 3.8 out of 15 points Academic Growth=Does Not Meet; 32.7%; 11.4 points out of 35 points Academic Growth Gaps= Does Not Meet; 32.9%; 4.9 points out of 15 points Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness= Does No	Academically unacceptable	Alternative Education Campus: Improvement	Academically acceptable	NA	Not rated	26	19.4	27.1
CO	Hope Online Learning Academy	Academic Achievement=Does not meet; 27.1%; 4.1 out of 15 points Academic Growth=Approaching; 46.4%; 16.2 points out of 35 points Academic Growth Gaps= Approaching; 45.8%; 21.9 out of 35 points Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness=Does Not Meet;	Academically unacceptable	Priority Improvement (at or above 33% - below 47%)	Academically unacceptable	% Prof/Adv.: 36.12 in Math; 41.94 in Reading; 19.54 in Writing	Academically unacceptable	41.6	38.3	37.8
CO	Jeffco's 21st Century Virtual Academy	Academic Achievement=Meets; 68.8%; 10.3 out of 15 points Academic Growth=Approaching; 58.3%; 20.4 points out of 35 points Academic Growth Gaps= 0 points out of 0 points Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness= Approaching; 48.1%; 16.8 out of 35 poin	Academically unacceptable	Alternative Education Campus: Performance	Academically acceptable	Alternative Education Campus (AEC): Performance, 37.1%; 37.1/100 pts	Academically acceptable	31.3	28.6	37.1
CO	Karval Online Education	Academic Achievement=Does not meet; 29.2%; 4.4 out of 15 points Academic Growth=Approaching; 45.8%; 16 points out of 35 points Academic Growth Gaps= 0 out of 0 points Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness= Approaching; 37.5%; 13.1 out of 35 point	Academically unacceptable	Priority Improvement (at or above 33% - below 47%)	Academically unacceptable	% Prof/Adv.: 17.65 in Math; 61.76 in Reading; 23.53 in Writing	Academically unacceptable	48.9	43.8	40.5

State	School Name	State Rating 2011-12	Rating Status 2011-12	State Rating 2012-13	Rating Status 2012-13	State Rating 2013-14	Rating Status 2013-14	Graduation Rate 2011	Graduation Rate 2012	Graduation Rate 2013
CO	Monte Vista On-Line Academy	Academic Achievement=Approaching; 57.1%; 8.6 out of 15 points Academic Growth=Exceeds; 91.7%; 32.1 points out of 35 points Academic Growth Gaps= 0 out of 0 points Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness= Does Not Meet; 33.3%; 11.7 out of 35 points	Academically unacceptable	Improvement, 57.6%, 28.8/50 pts (at or above 47% - below 60%)	Academically unacceptable	% Prof/Adv.: 30.76 in Math; 52.70 in Reading; 37.77 in Writing	Academically unacceptable	43.5	47.6	48
CO	PSD Global Academy (PSD Online Academy)	Academic Achievement=Approaching; 54.2%; 8.1 out of 15 points Academic Growth=Approaching; 58.3%; 20.4 points out of 35 points Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness= Meets; 62.5%; 21.9 out of 35 points Total= 59.3%; 50.4 out of 85 points	Academically unacceptable	Improvement (at or above 47% - below 60%)	Academically acceptable	Improvement; Prof/Adv.: 60 in Math; 83.64 in Reading; 65.45 in Writing	Academically acceptable	25	28	35.5
CO	Southwest Colorado E-school	Academic Achievement=Approaching; 50%; 7.5 out of 15 points Total= 50%; 7.5 out of 15 points	Academically unacceptable	Improvement (at or above 47% - below 60%)	Academically acceptable	NA	Not rated	NA	NA	27.3
CO	St. Vrain Online Global Academy	Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness=Does Not Meet; 25%; 8.8 out of 35 points Total= 25.1%; 8.8 out of 35 points	Academically unacceptable	Priority Improvement (at or above 33% - below 47%)	Academically unacceptable	Priority Improvement; % Prof/Adv.: 18.18 in Math; 86.36 in Reading; 36.36 in Writing	Academically unacceptable	NA	13.6	11.5
CO	Thompson Online	Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness=Approaching; 50%; 17.5 out of 35 points Total= 50%; 17.5 out of 35 points	Academically unacceptable	Improvement (at or above 47% - below 60%)	Academically acceptable	Prof/Adv.: 32.14 in Math; 67.86 in Reading; 28.57 in Writing	Academically unacceptable	NA	NA	38.5
CO	Vilas Online (V.I.L.A.S. ONLINE SCHOOL)	Academic Achievement=Does not Meet; 27.5%; 4.1 out of 15 points Academic Growth=Does not Meet; 25%; 8.8 out of 35 points Academic Growth Gaps= Approaching; 40%; 6 points out of 15 points Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness= Does not Meet; 25%; 8	Academically unacceptable	Turnaround (below 33%)	Academically unacceptable	Turnaround; % Prof/Adv.: 35.19 in Math; 57.41 in Reading; 40.74 in Writing	Academically unacceptable	42.1	25.7	34.1
CO	World Academy	NA	Not rated	Academically acceptable Tier 2: Midperforming Not Rated	Academically acceptable	NA	Not rated	NA	NA	50
CO	Insight School of Colorado	Academic Achievement=Approaching; 37.5%; 5.6 out of 15 points Academic Growth=Approaching; 41.7%; 14.6 points out of 35 points Academic Growth Gaps= Does not meet; 33.3%; 5 out of 15 points Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness= Does not meet; 31	Academically unacceptable	Priority Improvement (at or above 33% - below 47%)	Academically unacceptable	% Prof/Adv.: 17.94 in Math; 64.13 in Reading; 43.95 in Writing	Academically unacceptable	12.6	16.8	15.2
CO	Colorado Virtual Academy	Academic Achievement=Approaching; 50%; 7.5 out of 15 points Academic Growth=Approaching; 41.7%; 14.6 out of 35 points Academic Growth Gaps=Does not meet; 35.7%; 5.4 out of 15 points Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness=Does not meet; 31.7%; 11.1	Academically unacceptable	Turnaround (below 33%)	Academically unacceptable	NA	Not rated	21.6	21.5	30.4
CO	Peak Virtual Academy					Improvement, 50%, 17.5/35 pts (at or above 47% - below 60%)	Academically acceptable			0
CO	Great Plains Academy			Performance (at or above 60%)	Academically acceptable	Performance, 0/0 (at or above 60%)	Academically acceptable		NA	100
DC	Community Academy Public Charter School-Online	Tier 2; Overall Percentage Score: 64.1%	Academically acceptable	Tier 2: Midperforming; Overall Percentage Score: 53.1%	Academically acceptable	Tier 2: Midperforming; Overall Percentage Score: 55.4%	Academically acceptable		NA	
FL	COLLIER VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	N/A	Not rated	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 85% Reading- Above AMO target; 68% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	NA	NA	
FL	FLORIDA VIRTUAL SCHOOL FULL TIME 9-12			% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 68% Reading- Above AMO target; 64% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated		NA	
FL	FLORIDA VIRTUAL SCHOOL FULL TIME K-8			% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 74% Reading- Below AMO target; 53% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 74% Reading- Above AMO target; 70% Math-Below AMO target; 74% Writing- Above State and District Assessment Results	Academically acceptable		NA	
FL	ALACHUA VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	N/A	Not rated	N/A-Not Applicable	Not rated	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 75% Reading- Below AMO target; 52% Math-Above AMO target; 37% Writing- Below State and District Assessment Results	Academically unacceptable	NA	NA	
FL	Bay Virtual Instruction Program	N/A	Not rated	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 67% Reading- Above AMO target; 67% Math-Above AMO target	Academically acceptable	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT: 75% Reading- Met AMO target; 56% Math-Below AMO target;	Academically unacceptable	NA	NA	

State	School Name	State Rating 2011-12	Rating Status 2011-12	State Rating 2012-13	Rating Status 2012-13	State Rating 2013-14	Rating Status 2013-14	Graduation Rate 2011	Graduation Rate 2012	Graduation Rate 2013
FL	Brevard Virtual Instruction Program	N/A	Not rated	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 78% Reading- Below AMO target; 54% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	NA	NA	
FL	BROWARD VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM			% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 74% Reading- Below AMO target; 59% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 83% Reading- Below AMO target; 67% Math-Below AMO target;	Academically unacceptable		NA	
FL	CALHOUN VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM			N/A-Not Applicable	Not rated	No Test Results Reported	Not rated		NA	
FL	DUVAL VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	N/A	Not rated	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 60% Reading- Below AMO target; 36% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 23% Writing- Below State and District Assessment Results	Academically unacceptable	60	NA	
FL	Escambia Virtual Instruction Program	N/A	Not rated	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 75% Reading- Below AMO target; 42% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 55% Reading- Below AMO target; 35% Math-Below AMO target;	Academically unacceptable	NA	NA	
FL	Gadsden Virtual Instruction Program	N/A	Not rated	N/A-Not Applicable	Not rated	Not rated	Not rated	NA	NA	
FL	Hernando School District Virtual Instruction Program	N/A	Not rated	N/A-Not Applicable	Not rated	Not rated	Not rated	NA	NA	
FL	Highlands Virtual School Program	N/A	Not rated	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 54% Reading- Below AMO target; 38% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 60% Reading- Below AMO target; 43% Math-Below AMO target;	Academically unacceptable	NA	NA	
FL	Hillsborough Virtual Instruction Program			% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 58% Reading- Below AMO target; 50% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 17% Writing- Below State and District Assessment Results; 68% Reading- Below AMO target; 64% Math-Below AMO target;	Academically unacceptable			
FL	JACKSON VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM			N/A-Not Applicable	Not rated	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 60% Reading- Above State and District Assessment Results; 70% Math-Above State and District Assessment Results	Academically acceptable		NA	
FL	LAKE VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM			% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 53% Reading- Below AMO target; 37% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated		NA	
FL	LEE VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM			N/A-Not Applicable	Not rated	Not rated	Not rated		NA	
FL	MANATEE VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM			% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 71% Reading- Below AMO target; 51% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 67% Reading- Below AMO target; 43% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable		NA	
FL	Okaloosa Virtual Instruction Program			Not rated	Not rated	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 59% Reading- Below State and District Assessment Results; 53% Math-Below State and District Assessment Results	Academically unacceptable			
FL	ORANGE COUNTY VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM			% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 61% Reading- Below AMO target; 39% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 79% Reading- Below AMO target; 44% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable		NA	
FL	OSCEOLA VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM			% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 61% Reading- Below AMO target; 24% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 66% Reading- Below AMO target; 36% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable		NA	
FL	Palm Beach Virtual Instruction Program			Not rated	Not rated	of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 79% Reading- Below AMO target; 68% Math-Above AMO target	Academically unacceptable			
FL	PASCO VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM			% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 52% Reading- Below AMO target; 24% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated		NA	
FL	PINELLAS VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	N/A	Not rated	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 62% Reading- Below AMO target; 47% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable	of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 70% Reading- Above AMO target; 39% Math-Below AMO target;	Academically unacceptable	15	NA	
FL	PINELLAS VIRTUAL K-12			% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 62% Reading- Below AMO target; 47% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 65% Reading- Below AMO target; 32% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable		NA	
FL	POLK VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	N/A	Not rated	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 57% Reading- Below AMO target; 8% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 71% Reading- Below AMO target; 58% Math-Below AMO target;	Academically unacceptable	NA	NA	
FL	SANTA ROSA VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	N/A	Not rated	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 69% Reading- Below AMO target; 64% Math-Above AMO target	Academically unacceptable	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 88% Reading- Above AMO target; 68% Math-Above AMO target;	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	

State	School Name	State Rating 2011-12	Rating Status 2011-12	State Rating 2012-13	Rating Status 2012-13	State Rating 2013-14	Rating Status 2013-14	Graduation Rate 2011	Graduation Rate 2012	Graduation Rate 2013
FL	SARASOTA VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM			% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 84% Reading- Above AMO target; 66% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 87% Reading- Above AMO target; 57% Math-Below AMO target; 42% Writing- Below State and District Assessment Results	Academically unacceptable	59.38	NA	
FL	SEMINOLE VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM (SVIP)	N/A	Not rated	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 75% Reading- Below AMO target; 66% Math-Above AMO target; 32% Writing- Below State and District Assessment Results	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	NA	NA	
FL	ST. JOHNS VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM K-8	N/A	Not rated	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 100% Reading- Above AMO target; 63% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	72.73	NA	
FL	ST. LUCIE VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	N/A	Not rated	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 85% Reading- Above AMO target; 52% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 89% Reading- Above AMO target; 65% Math-Below AMO target:	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	
FL	VOLUSIA COUNTY VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	N/A	Not rated	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 83% Reading- Above AMO target; 49% Math-Below AMO target	Academically unacceptable	% of students scoring satisfactory & above in FCAT 2.0: 67% Reading- Below AMO target; 53% Math-Below AMO target;	Academically unacceptable	NA	NA	
FL	Florida Virtual Schools - 50 Franchises			N/A--Not Applicable	Not rated	Not rated	Not rated	NA	NA	
GA	Georgia Connections Academy	NA	Not rated	86% met or exceeded ELA proficiency targets; 69% met or exceeded math proficiency targets	Academically acceptable	CCRPI Score: 67.9 (Elem); 73.1 (Middle); 55.3 (High)	Academically unacceptable	NA	26.8	25.90
GA	Provost Academy Georgia			Not available	Not rated	CCRPI Score: 37; Achievement Pts. 24.7; Progress Pts. 11.1; Achievement Gap Pts. 1.2	Academically unacceptable		7.7	9.20
GA	iAchieve Virtual Academy	Did Not Make AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not available	Not rated	CCRPI Score: 77.3 (Middle); 68.8 (High)	Academically unacceptable	NA	63.3	67.70
GA	Gwinnett County Online Campus	NA	Not rated	Not available	Not rated	CCRPI Score: 83.9 (Middle); 58.2 (High)	Academically acceptable	NA	43.6	34.50
GA	Georgia Cyber Academy (Odyssey School)	Did not meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	82% met or exceeded ELA proficiency targets; 63% met or exceeded math proficiency targets	Academically acceptable	CCRPI Score: 63 (Elem); 66 (Middle); 60.9 (High)	Academically unacceptable	NA	NA	27.00
IA	Iowa Connections Academy Elementary		Not rated	Met Targets: Math & Reading Proficiency/Participation	Academically acceptable	Met Targets: Math & Reading Proficiency/Participation	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	NA
IA	Iowa Connections Academy High School		Not rated	Met Targets: Math & Reading Proficiency/Participation	Academically acceptable	Met Targets: Math & Reading Proficiency/Participation	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	63.64
IA	Iowa Connections Academy Middle School		Not rated	Met Targets: Math & Reading Proficiency/Participation	Academically acceptable	Met Targets: Math & Reading Proficiency/Participation	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	NA
IA	Iowa Virtual Academy		Not rated	Met Targets: Math & Reading Proficiency/Participation	Academically acceptable	Met Targets: Math & Reading Proficiency/Participation	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	NA
ID	INSPIRE, the Idaho Connections Academy	School Improvement Year 1	Academically unacceptable	3 Stars	Academically acceptable	Met Targets: Math & Reading Proficiency/Participation	Academically acceptable		NA	
ID	Another Choice Virtual School	3 Star; 63/100 Pts; School Improvement Year 1; Reading Not Target Met (70.1%); Math Adv/Proficiency Target Not Met (45%)	Academically unacceptable	2 Stars; 41 out of 100 Points; Priority School; Improvement Yr 1	Academically unacceptable	ISAT Exam: Science: 35% Proficient; 32.1 Advanced	Academically unacceptable	93.30	43.80	NA
ID	Bonneville District Virtual Academy			Alert	Academically unacceptable	ISAT Exam: Reading: 55% Proficient; 25% Advanced; Math: 31% Procient; 14% Advanced; Science: 32% Proficient; 24.6 Advanced	Academically unacceptable			
ID	Idaho Connects Online Alternative School			Not available	Not Rated	Not rated	Not rated			
ID	Idaho Connects Online School	Met AYP	Academically acceptable	2 Stars	Academically unacceptable	ISAT Exam: Science: 40% Proficient; 30 Advanced	Academically unacceptable	42.9	NA	
ID	Idaho Distance Education Academy	Met AYP	Academically acceptable	5 Stars	Academically acceptable	ISAT Exam: Science: 31% Proficient; 46.5 Advanced	Academically acceptable		NA	
ID	Kootenai Bridge Academy	School Improvement Year 1	Academically unacceptable	1 Star	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	46.4	NA	
ID	Minidoka Virtual Academy			Met AYP	Academically acceptable	Not rated	Not rated			
ID	Richard Mckenna Alternative Charter High			Not available	Not Rated	ISAT Exam: Science: 32% Proficient; 24.6 Advanced	Academically acceptable			
ID	Richard Mckenna Charter High	Met AYP	Academically acceptable	1 Star; 20 out of 100 Points	Academically unacceptable	ISAT Exam: Science: 58.3% Proficient; 16.7 Advanced	Academically acceptable	90	NA	
ID	Vallivue Virtual Academy	No determination	Not rated	Met AYP	Academically acceptable	Not rated	Not rated	NA	NA	NA
ID	iSucceed Virtual High School	School Improvement Year 2	Academically unacceptable	1 Star; 35 out of 100 Points	Academically unacceptable	ISAT Exam: Reading: 55% Proficient; 25% Advanced; Math: 31% Procient; 14% Advanced; Science: 32% Proficient; 24.6 Advanced	Academically unacceptable	31.80	25	
ID	Idaho Virtual Academy	3 Star; School Improvement Year 4	Academically unacceptable	3 Stars; Improvement Yr. 1	Academically acceptable	ISAT Exam: Reading: 55% Proficient; 25% Advanced; Math: 31% Procient; 14% Advanced; Science: 32% Proficient; 24.6 Advanced	Academically unacceptable		NA	
ID	Idaho Virtual Academy Alternative High School			Not available	Not Rated	ISAT Exam: Science: 30.7% Proficient; 18.7 Advanced	Academically unacceptable			
IN	Indiana Connections Academy	B	Academically acceptable	D	Academically unacceptable	School Grade= D; School Points = 1.21	Academically unacceptable	48.6	33.6	
IN	Achieve Virtual Education Academy			Not available	Not Rated	F	Academically unacceptable	42.9	47.4	
IN	Hoosier Academy Virtual School	School Grade= F; School Points = 0	Academically unacceptable	F	Academically unacceptable	F	Academically unacceptable	0	26.4	
IN	Indiana Virtual School	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not available	Not Rated	Not available	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA

State	School Name	State Rating 2011-12	Rating Status 2011-12	State Rating 2012-13	Rating Status 2012-13	State Rating 2013-14	Rating Status 2013-14	Graduation Rate 2011	Graduation Rate 2012	Graduation Rate 2013
IN	Hoosier Academy - Indianapolis	School Grade= B; School Points = 3.12	Academically acceptable	C	Academically unacceptable	Not available	Not Rated	35.9	35.9	
KS	Kansas Connections Academy	Missed 3 AYP targets	Academically unacceptable	Reading Improvement= 45 Math Improvement= 27	Academically unacceptable	No 2014 Assessment data	Not rated	58.1	62.7	
KS	Leavenworth Virtual School	Missed 4 AYP targets	Academically unacceptable	Reading Improvement= 25 Math Improvement= 25	Academically unacceptable	No 2014 Assessment data	Not rated	NA	NA	NA
KS	Little River Virtual School (opened 2013)					No 2014 Assessment data	Not rated			
KS	Smoky Valley Virtual Charter School	Yes	Academically acceptable	Reading Improvement= -20 Math Improvement= -83	Academically unacceptable	No 2014 Assessment data	Not rated	33.3	59.1	NA
KS	Insight School of KS at Hilltop Education Center		Academically acceptable	Reading Improvement = -118 Math Improvement = -151	Academically unacceptable	No 2014 Assessment data	Not rated	24.3	22.2	
KS	Heartland Virtual Academy	Not Met AYP	Academically unacceptable	Reading Improvement = 12 Math Improvement = 41	Academically unacceptable	No 2014 Assessment data	Not rated	NA	NA	NA
KS	Kansas Virtual Academy (opened 2013)					No 2014 Assessment data	Not rated			NA
KS	Lawrence Virtual High School (closed 2014)	Made standard of excellence in reading	Academically acceptable	Reading Improvement = -51 Math Improvement = 11	Academically unacceptable	No 2014 Assessment data	Not rated	NA	26.3	NA
KS	Lawrence Virtual School	Missed 6 AYP targets	Academically unacceptable	Reading Improvement= 0 Math Improvement= 23	Academically unacceptable	No 2014 Assessment data	Not rated	NA	NA	NA
LA	Louisiana Connections Academy	Subgroup AYP not achieved;	Academically unacceptable	C, SPS=72.5; State Assessments: Mastery: 17%; Basic: 45%; Approaching Basic: 21%	Academically acceptable	C, SPS=75; State Assessments: Above grade level= 17%; At Grade Level= 42%; Below Grade Level = 41%	Academically acceptable			
MA	Massachusetts Virtual Academy (MAVA) at Greenfield			66% Proficient & Advanced in Reading; 37% Proficient & Advanced in Reading;	Academically unacceptable	60% Proficient & Advanced in Reading; 37% Proficient & Advanced in Reading;	Academically unacceptable		80	
MI	Michigan Connections Academy (MICA)	EdYes! Grade=A	Academically acceptable	Yellow--attained at least 60% but less than 70% of possible points	Academically acceptable	Yellow--attained at least 60% but less than 70% of possible points	Academically acceptable	20	25	60
MI	Great Lakes Cyber Academy/School			Not Rated	Not Rated	Red--attained less than 50% of possible points	Academically unacceptable			
MI	Berrien Springs Virtual Academy	Statewide Percentile Ranking=58; Focus School	Academically acceptable	Not available	Not Rated	Yellow--attained at least 60% but less than 70% of possible points	Academically acceptable		NA	100
MI	Big Rapids Virtual School			Areas for Improvement: Attendance	Not Rated	Not rated	Not rated		21.74	0
MI	Bloomington Online Academy			Not Rated	Not Rated	Scorecard: Orange; Areas for Improvement: Prof-All- Red	Academically unacceptable		0	0
MI	Capac Virtual Education Program			Not Rated	Not Rated	Green--attained 85% or greater of possible points	Academically acceptable		0	100
MI	Cheboygan Virtual Academy			Not Rated	Not Rated	Not rated	Not rated			
MI	Clinton Virtual Jr/Sr High School			Not Rated	Not Rated	Not rated	Not rated			
MI	Dearborn Heights Virtual Academy	Made AYP	Academically acceptable	Orange--attained at least 50% but less than 60% of possible points	Academically unacceptable	Green--attained 85% or greater of possible points; 14/14	Academically acceptable	0	23.08	44
MI	Downriver Virtual Academy			Not available	Not Rated	Not rated	Not rated			
MI	Fowlerville Online Learning Academy			Scorecard: ORANGE; Areas for Improvement: Prof- All-Red, EdEvals	Academically unacceptable	Yellow--attained at least 60% but less than 70% of possible points; Areas for Improvement: EdEvals, Attendance	Academically acceptable	25	16.67	11.11
MI	Gaylord Virtual Instruction Program			Red--attained less than 50% of possible points	Academically unacceptable	Yellow--attained at least 60% but less than 70% of possible points; Areas for Improvement: Prof-All-Red, EdEvals, Attendance	Academically acceptable	25	40	25
MI	Gobles Virtual Academy			Not available	Not Rated	Green--attained 85% or greater of possible points	Academically acceptable			50
MI	Grand Haven Cyber School			Not available	Not Rated	Scorecard: RED; Areas for Improvement: Prof-All-Red, EdEvals, Attendance	Academically acceptable			
MI	Great Lakes Online School-Ovid-else			Not available	Not Rated	Red--attained less than 50% of possible points	Academically unacceptable			28.57
MI	Gull Lake Virtual School	Not Maked AYP	Academically unacceptable	Red--attained less than 50% of possible points	Academically unacceptable	Red--attained less than 50% of possible points	Academically unacceptable	0	NA	16.67
MI	Harper Woods Virtual Academy			Not available	Not Rated	not rated	Not Rated			
MI	Hartland Virtual Academy			Not available	Not Rated	Green--attained 85% or greater of possible points	Academically acceptable	0	0	0
MI	Holton Virtual Academy			Not available	Not Rated	not rated	Not Rated			
MI	Ingham Virtual High School	NA	Not rated	Not available	Not Rated	Yellow--attained at least 60% but less than 70% of possible points; 4/6	Academically acceptable	20	0	0
MI	Jenison International Academy			Scorecard: RED; Areas for Improvement: Part-All- Red, Part-Sub-Red	Academically unacceptable	Green--attained 85% or greater of possible points	Academically acceptable			
MI	Lake Huron Virtual & Hybrid High School			Orange--attained at least 50% but less than 60% of possible points	Academically unacceptable	Yellow--attained at least 60% but less than 70% of possible points	Academically acceptable	0	0	0
MI	Madison Virtual Academy			Not available	Not Rated	Red--attained less than 50% of possible points	Academically unacceptable		75	28.57
MI	Oak Park Virtual Academy			Not Rated	Not Rated	Not rated	Not Rated			
MI	Oxford Virtual Academy			Green--attained 85% or greater of possible points	Academically acceptable	Yellow--attained at least 60% but less than 70% of possible points	Academically acceptable	80	25	68.18
MI	SouthEast Michigan Cyber Academy			Red--attained less than 50% of possible points	Academically unacceptable	Red--attained less than 50% of possible points	Academically unacceptable		7.14	4.17
MI	St. Charles Virtual School			Not available	Not Rated	not rated	Not Rated			
MI	Suttons Bay Virtual School	NA	Not rated	Red--attained less than 50% of possible points	Academically unacceptable	Red--attained less than 50% of possible points	Academically unacceptable	14.29	0	5.56
MI	Taylor Virtual Learning Academy			Scorecard: RED; Areas for Improvement: Part-All- Red, Part-Sub-Red	Academically unacceptable	Yellow--attained at least 60% but less than 70% of possible points	Academically acceptable	50	35.71	15.79
MI	Tecumseh Virtual Academy			Not available	Not Rated	not rated	Not Rated			
MI	Virtual Learning Academy Consortium			Not available	Not Rated	not rated	Not Rated		31.58	
MI	Virtual Learning Academy of St. Clair County	Not Made AYP	Academically unacceptable	Orange--attained at least 50% but less than 60% of possible points	Academically unacceptable	Red--attained less than 50% of possible points; 6/18	Academically unacceptable	22	50	29.23
MI	Waterford Cyber Academy	Made AYP	Academically acceptable	Yellow--attained at least 60% but less than 70% of possible points	Academically acceptable	Green--attained 85% or greater of possible points	Academically acceptable	83.33	93.33	72.22
MI	West Michigan Virtual-Battle Creek HS			Not available	Not Rated	Red--attained less than 50% of possible points	Academically unacceptable			
MI	West Michigan Virtual-Battle Creek MS			Not available	Not Rated	Not available	Not Rated			
MI	West Michigan Virtual- Edmore/Montabella/Vestaburg			Not available	Not Rated	Not available	Not Rated			
MI	West Michigan Virtual-Escanaba			Not available	Not Rated	purple	Academically acceptable			

State	School Name	State Rating 2011-12	Rating Status 2011-12	State Rating 2012-13	Rating Status 2012-13	State Rating 2013-14	Rating Status 2013-14	Graduation Rate 2011	Graduation Rate 2012	Graduation Rate 2013
MI	West Michigan Virtual-Greenville			Not available	Not Rated	purple	Academically acceptable			
MI	West Michigan Virtual-Howard City/Tri County			Not available	Not Rated	Not rated	Not Rated			
MI	West Michigan Virtual-Stanton/Central Montcalm			Not available	Not Rated	purple	Academically acceptable			
MI	Westwood Cyber High School	Grade=D; Statewide Percentile Ranking=1; Priority School	Academically unacceptable	Red--attained less than 50% of possible points	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not Rated	2.94	11.32	10.5
MI	Hanover-Horton Virtual School			Orange--attained at least 50% but less than 60% of possible points	Academically unacceptable	Green--attained 85% or greater of possible points	Academically acceptable	100	0	75
MI	MI Great Lakes Virtual Academy			Yellow--attained at least 60% but less than 70% of possible points	Academically acceptable	Red--attained less than 50% of possible points	Academically unacceptable			
MI	Michigan Virtual Charter Academy	EdYes! Grade=B	Academically acceptable	Red--attained less than 50% of possible points	Academically unacceptable	Red--attained less than 50% of possible points; 36/64	Academically unacceptable	0	37.04	28.57
MI	Mosaica Online Academy of Michigan			Not Rated	Not Rated	not rated	Not Rated			
MN	Minneapolis On Line Learning	Met AYP in Math, Reading & Attendance	Academically acceptable	Met AYP in Math, Reading & Attendance	Academically acceptable	Met AYP in Math, Reading & Graduation	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	13.3
MN	Minnesota Connections Academy	26.85/100; Multiple Measures Rating(MMR): 26.85; Proficiency: 5.8; Growth: 9.28; Achievement Gap reduction: 8.44; Graduation:3.33; Not Met AYP in Reading & Math	Academically unacceptable	50.83/100; Multiple Measures Rating(MMR): 50.83; Proficiency: 13.48; Growth: 8.56; Achievement Gap reduction: 10.42; Graduation:18.35; Not met AYP in Math	Academically unacceptable	61.51/100; Multiple Measures Rating(MMR): 61.51; Focus Rating (FR): 68.27; Proficiency: 11.86; Growth: 10.18; Achievement Gap reduction: 14.47; Graduation:25; Not Met AYP in Reading & Math	Academically unacceptable	62.4	51.2	49
MN	Cyber Village Academy	64.63/75; Multiple Measures Rating(MMR): 85.17; Proficiency: 24.97; Growth: 20.70; Achievement Gap reduction: 18.96	Academically acceptable	B-; 49.36/75; Multiple Measures Rating(MMR): 65.81; Proficiency: 15; Growth: 13.67; Achievement Gap reduction: 10.69;	Academically acceptable	105.44/150; Multiple Measures Rating(MMR): 70.30; Proficiency: 50; Growth: 29.39; Achievement Gap reduction: 26.06	Academically unacceptable	NA	NA	NA
MN	EdVisions Off Campus School	2.40/50; Multiple Measures Rating(MMR): 4.79; Proficiency: 1.08; Growth: 1.32; Not met AYP	Academically unacceptable	D-; 19.95/50; Multiple Measures Rating(MMR): 39.89; Proficiency:12.97; Growth: 6.97	Academically unacceptable	55.62/100; Multiple Measures Rating(MMR): 44.50; Proficiency:19.06; Growth: 11.56; Achievement Gap reduction: -; Graduation:25; Met AYP in Math; Not Met AYP in Reading	Academically unacceptable	10.3	16.1	16
MN	eMinnesota Online Academy	Not rated	Not rated	At or Above AYP in Math; AYP in Reading= CTSTR	Academically acceptable	At or Above AYP in Reading; AYP in Math= CTSTR	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	NA
MN	Minnesota Online High School	F; At or Above AYP in Reading; Not Met AYP in Math	Academically unacceptable	F; At or Above AYP in Reading; Not Met AYP in Math	Academically unacceptable	Met AYP in Reading and Math	Academically acceptable	27.3	24.3	38.5
MN	Trio Wolf Creek Distance Learning	Not met AYP in Math & graduation; Met AYP in Reading; 31% does not meet standard in Math MCA-II;	Academically unacceptable	D-; Not met AYP in Math & Graduation; Met AYP in Reading;	Academically unacceptable	Met AYP in Math, Reading & Graduation; 35.81/75; Multiple Measures Rating(MMR): 47.75; Proficiency: 6.06; Growth: 4.75	Academically acceptable	36.4	23.2	39.3
MN	Virtual High School	10.35/100; Multiple Measures Rating(MMR): 10.35; Focus Rating (FR): NA; Proficiency: 4.75; Growth: .32; Achievement Gap reduction: .27; Graduation: 5.01	Academically unacceptable	30.86/100; Multiple Measures Rating(MMR): 30.86; Focus Rating (FR): NA; Proficiency:11.19; Growth: 3.52; Achievement Gap reduction: 4.16; Graduation:12; Not Met AYP in Reading and Math	Academically unacceptable	31.19/100; Multiple Measures Rating(MMR): 21.92; Focus Rating (FR): 31.19; Achievement Gap reduction: 8.18; At or Above AYP in Math; Not Met AYP in Reading	Academically unacceptable	16.8	19.3	20.8
MN	Insight School of Minnesota	15.23/100; Multiple Measures Rating(MMR): 15.23; Proficiency:5.32; Growth: 1.49; Achievement Gap reduction: 7.18; Graduation:1.16; Not Met AYP	Academically unacceptable	F; 51.06/100; Multiple Measures Rating(MMR): 51.06; Proficiency: 6.36; Growth: 9.95; Achievement Gap reduction: 9.75; Graduation:25	Academically unacceptable	30.54/100; Multiple Measures Rating(MMR): 30.54; Proficiency: 0; Growth: 5.54; Achievement Gap reduction: 0	Academically unacceptable		19.5	
MN	Minnesota Virtual Academy	15.76/100; Multiple Measures Rating(MMR): 15.76; Proficiency: 4.28; Growth: 5.36; Achievement Gap reduction: 4.96; Graduation:15.76; Not Met AYP in Reading & Math	Academically unacceptable	D-; 51.56/100; Multiple Measures Rating(MMR): 51.56; Proficiency: 13.34; Growth: 7.51; Achievement Gap reduction: 5.71; Graduation:25; Not Met AYP in Reading & Math	Academically unacceptable	116.89/200; Multiple Measures Rating(MMR): 58.48; Proficiency: 24.08; Growth: 21.99; Achievement Gap reduction: 20.82; Graduation:50; Not Met AYP in Reading & Math	Academically unacceptable	30.7	37.2	35.1
MN	iQ Academy Minnesota	26.07/75; Multiple Measures Rating(MMR): 34.76; Proficiency: 1.08; Growth: .03; Achievement Gap reduction: -; Graduation:24.96; Not Met AYP in Math & Reading	Academically unacceptable	51.32/100; Multiple Measures Rating(MMR): 51.32; Proficiency: 13.82; Growth: 4; Achievement Gap reduction: 8.5; Graduation:25; Met AYP in Math & Reading	Academically acceptable	59.42/100; Multiple Measures Rating(MMR): 59.42; Proficiency: 7.74; Growth: 13.52; Achievement Gap reduction: 13.16; Graduation:25; Met AYP in Math & Reading; Met Graduation Target	Academically acceptable	25.5	27.7	29.1
NH	Virtual Learning Academy Charter School (H)	Acceptable, Subject to Small School Review (Reading, Math)	Academically acceptable	Acceptable improvement in reading and math; Met Federal Accountability Status	Academically acceptable	Proficient: Reading =60%; Math= 23% Proficient with Distinction: Reading =20%; Math= 0% Substantially Below Proficient: Reading =0%; Math= 20%	Academically acceptable		NA	
NM	New Mexico Connections Academy			Not available	Not Rated	Not available	Not Rated			
NM	Academy Virtual High School			Not available	Not Rated	Not available	Not Rated			
NM	New Mexico Virtual Academy			Not available	Not Rated	Not available	Not Rated			
NV	Washoe Online Learning for the Future (WOLF)	Exemplary	Academically acceptable	Not available	Not Rated	Not available	Not Rated	19.8	NA	NA
NV	Nevada Connections Academy	In Need of Improvement (Year 1)	Academically unacceptable	52.00; 3 Star	Academically acceptable	48; 2 Star	Academically unacceptable	26.50	36.08	33.91
NV	Beacon Academy of Nevada (formerly Beacon Nevada)	Adequate	Academically acceptable	29.69; 1 Star	Academically unacceptable	51.50; 3 Star	Academically acceptable	16.38	14.35	37.61
NV	Clark County Virtual High School Distance (formerly Virtual HS)	Exemplary	Academically acceptable	46.67; 2 Star	Academically unacceptable	65.56; 3 Star	Academically acceptable	64.86	56.47	70.59
NV	Delta Academy	In Need of Improvement (Year 2)	Academically unacceptable	24.00; 1 Star	Academically unacceptable	44.16; 2 Star	Academically unacceptable	23.08	6.47	NA
NV	Nevada Virtual Academy	In Need of Improvement (Year 3)	Academically unacceptable	36.00; 2 Star	Academically unacceptable	32; 2 Star	Academically unacceptable	32.47	36.56	52.29
NV	Odyssey Charter High School	Watch	Academically unacceptable	40.5; 2 Star	Academically unacceptable	42.50; 2 Star	Academically unacceptable	45.5	38.19	NA
NV	Odyssey Charter School 6-8	In Need of Improvement (Year 3)	Academically unacceptable	59; 3 Star	Academically unacceptable	56; 3 Star	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	NA
NV	Odyssey Charter School K-5	In Need of Improvement (Year 3)	Academically unacceptable	44.00; 2 Star	Academically unacceptable	50; 3 Star	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	NA
NV	Silver State Charter School	In Need of Improvement (Year 1)	Academically unacceptable	33.00; 2 Star	Academically unacceptable	33.50; 2 Star	Academically unacceptable	61.3	22.41	22.16

State	School Name	State Rating 2011-12	Rating Status 2011-12	State Rating 2012-13	Rating Status 2012-13	State Rating 2013-14	Rating Status 2013-14	Graduation Rate 2011	Graduation Rate 2012	Graduation Rate 2013
OH	Electronic Classroom of Tomorrow	C; Performance Index=84; AYP= Not met; Value Added = Below; Improvement Year 9, Continuous Improvement	Academically unacceptable	D; Performance Index=68.1; Indicators Met = F, 12.5%; Value Added = F	Academically unacceptable	D; Performance Index = 68.2; Indicators Met = F, 12.5%; Value Added = F	Academically unacceptable	30.8	35.3	38.4
OH	Buckeye Online School for Success	C; Performance Index=84.8; AYP= Not met; Value Added = Below; Continuous Improvement	Academically unacceptable	C; Performance Index=71.1; Indicators Met = F, 41.7%; Value Added = F	Academically unacceptable	C; Performance Index = 71; Indicators Met = F, 29.2%; Value Added = F	Academically unacceptable	36.3	43.7	45
OH	Ohio Connections Academy	B; Performance Index=90.7; AYP=Not met; Value Added = Below; Improvement Year 2, Effective	Academically acceptable	C; Performance Index=76.7; Indicators Met=D, 62.5%; Value Added = F	Academically unacceptable	C; Performance Index = 78.9; Indicators Met = D, 62.5%; Value Added = F	Academically unacceptable	40.3	54.7	
OH	Provost Academy Ohio (opened 2013-14)					NR; Performance Index = NR; Indicators Met = NC, NR; Value Added = NR	Not rated			NA
OH	Virtual Community School of Ohio	D; Continuous Improvement; Value Added= Below	Academically unacceptable	D	Academically unacceptable	D; Performance Index = 68.4; Indicators Met = F, 16.7%; Value Added = F	Academically unacceptable	18.3	21.5	20.6
OH	A.B. Graham Academy (closed 2014)	B (Effective); Performance Index=93.7; AYP = met	Academically acceptable	C; Performance Index=76.6; Indicators Met = D, 58.3%; Value Added = D	Academically unacceptable	C; Performance Index = 71.6; Indicators Met = D, 60%; Value Added = F	Academically unacceptable	47.1	52.6	77.40
OH	Akron Digital Academy	D; Performance Index=78; Value Added = Not Met; Improvement Year 7, Academic Watch	Academically unacceptable	D	Academically unacceptable	D; Performance Index = 77.971	Academically unacceptable	21.1	18.3	
OH	Alternative Education Academy	D; Performance Index=76.9;AY= Not Met; Value Added = Not Met; Improvement Year 7, Academic Watch	Academically unacceptable	D; Performance Index=64.2; Indicators Met = D, 16.7%; Value Added = F	Academically unacceptable	D; Performance Index = 62; Indicators Met = F, 16.7%; Value Added = F	Academically unacceptable	20.3	24.8	26.7
OH	Auglaize County Educational Virtual Academy	C; Performance Index=88.7; AYP = Not met; Improvement Year 2, Not rated	Academically unacceptable	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not rated	Not rated	54.9	50	
OH	Cardington Lincoln Local Digital Academy	AYP= Met; Value Added = NR; At risk, Not rated	Not rated	Not Rated	Not Rated	C- (miss up to 30% of max score)	Academically unacceptable	16.7	NA	
OH	Fairborn Digital Academy	B; Performance Index=95.6; AYP= met; Value Added = NR	Academically acceptable	Not Rated	Not Rated	C+ (miss up to 23% of max score)	Academically unacceptable	35.7	42.6	
OH	Findlay Digital Academy	C; Performance Index=85.7; AYP= Not met; Value Added = NR; Improvement Year 2, Academic Watch	Academically unacceptable	Not Rated	Not Rated	C- (miss up to 30% of max score)	Academically unacceptable	37.9	52.4	
OH	GOAL Digital Academy	F; Performance Index=63.2; AYP=Not met; Value Added =Below; Improvement Year 4, Academic Emergency	Academically unacceptable	Not Rated	Not Rated	F; Performance Index = 63.219	Academically unacceptable	14.7	23.1	
OH	Graham Digital Academy	At risk, Not rated; AYP=Met; Value Added= NR	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not Rated	C; Performance Index = 71.6; Indicators Met = D, 60%; Value Added = F	Academically unacceptable	40	NA	77.40
OH	Greater Ohio Virtual School	D; Performance Index=67.2; AYP=Not met; Value Added =NR; Improvement Year 3, Academic Watch	Academically unacceptable	Not Rated	Not Rated	F; Performance Index = 67.161	Academically unacceptable	45.1	43.5	
OH	Lakewood Digital Academy	Not rated; Performance Index=71; AYP=Met; Value Added =NR	Not rated	D; Performance Index=59.9; Indicators Met = NR, 100%; Value Added = F	Academically unacceptable	C; Performance Index = 0; Indicators Met = NR; Value Added = NR	Academically unacceptable	28.6	38.5	33.3
OH	Lancaster Digital Academy	Not rated; Performance Index=77.3; AYP=Not Met; Value Added =Met; Improvement Year 3, Not rated	Not rated	Not Rated	Not Rated	D; Performance Index = 77.292	Academically unacceptable	28.9	NA	
OH	London Academy	F; Performance Index=62.4; AYP=Not Met; Value Added=NR; Academic Emergency	Academically unacceptable	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not rated	Not rated	17.5	23.5	
OH	Mahoning Unlimited Classroom	D; Performance Index=79.5; AYP=Not Met; Value Added=Met	Academically unacceptable	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not rated	Not rated	20.4	17.4	
OH	Marion City Digital Academy	Not rated; Performance Index=66.2; AYP=Not Met; Value Added=Met	Not rated	Not Rated	Not Rated	D; Performance Index = 55.4; Indicators Met = F, 0%; Value Added = C	Academically unacceptable	6.7	7.7	2.3
OH	Massillon Digital Academy, Inc	F; Performance Index=59.2; AYP=Not Met; Value Added=Below; Improvement Year 1, Academic Emergency	Academically unacceptable	Not Rated	Not Rated	F; Performance Index = 59.175	Academically unacceptable	39.4	36.5	
OH	Newark Digital Academy	C; Performance Index=82.1; AYP=Not met; Value Added = Below; Improvement Year 2, Academic Watch	Academically unacceptable	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not Rated	30.4	29.1	55.1
OH	Quaker Digital Academy	C; Performance Index = 86.5; AYP=Not Met; Value Added = Below; Continuous Improvement	Academically unacceptable	Not Rated	Not Rated	C- (miss up to 30% of max score)	Academically unacceptable	29.5	33.1	
OH	Southwest Licking Digital Academy	Not Rated	Not Rated	Performance Index=NR; Indicators Met=100%, NR	Not Rated	NC; Performance Index = NR; Indicators Met = NC, NR; Value Added = NR	Not rated	35.7	38.9	68.2
OH	Treca Digital Academy	C; Performance Index = 85.7; Value Added = Below; Academic Watch;	Academically unacceptable	Not Rated	Not Rated	C- (miss up to 30% of max score)	Academically unacceptable	15.9	17.3	
OH	West Central Learning Academy II	C; Performance Index = 88.4; AYP=Not met; Value Added = Met; Improvement Year 1	Academically unacceptable	C; Performance Index=73.4; Indicators Met=NR, 100%; Value Added = NR	Academically unacceptable	B; Performance Index = 73.4; Indicators Met = 100%, NR; Value Added=NR	Academically acceptable	45.3	39	59.4
OH	Insight Schol of Ohio					C; Performance Index = 71.2; Indicators Met = F, 25%; Value Added = F	Academically unacceptable			NA
OH	Ohio Virtual Academy	C; Performance Index = 89.5; AYP=Not met; Value Added = Below; Improvement Year 6, Effective	Academically acceptable	C; Performance Index=73; Indicators Met=F, 45.8%; Value Added = F	Academically unacceptable	C; Performance Index = 73.6; Indicators Met = F, 41.7%; Value Added = F	Academically unacceptable	33.9	41.6	36.6
OH	Mosaica Online Academy					Performance Index = NR; Indicators Met = NC, NR; Value Added = NR	Not rated			NA
OH	Ohio Distance & Electronic Learning Academy		Academically unacceptable	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not available	Not Rated	NA	NA	
OK	Oklahoma Virtual High School (OKVHS)					School Rating: B = 83	Academically acceptable		NA	
OK	Epic One on One Virtual	Not enough items in the Standard or Objective to report	Not rated	D	Academically unacceptable	School Rating: F = 57	Academically unacceptable	NA	NA	NA
OK	Oklahoma Connections Academy			Not available	Not Rated	School Rating: D+ = 69	Academically unacceptable		NA	NA
OK	Oklahoma Virtual Academy (Oklahoma Virtual Charter Academy)	Not enough items in the Standard or Objective to report	Not rated	C	Academically unacceptable	School Rating: C = 73	Academically unacceptable	NA	NA	45.00

State	School Name	State Rating 2011-12	Rating Status 2011-12	State Rating 2012-13	Rating Status 2012-13	State Rating 2013-14	Rating Status 2013-14	Graduation Rate 2011	Graduation Rate 2012	Graduation Rate 2013
OR	Oregon Connections Academy	Needs Improvement, Fourth Tier	Academically unacceptable	Level 2 = Falls between 5% and 15% of schools	Academically unacceptable	Level 2 = Falls between 5% and 15% of schools	Academically unacceptable	33.9	45.4	49.00
OR	Baker Web Academy	Needs Improvement, Fourth Tier; AYP Not met	Academically unacceptable	Level 2 = Falls between 5% and 15% of schools	Academically unacceptable	Level 2 = Falls between 5% and 15% of schools	Academically unacceptable	12.20	18.20	19.10
OR	Clackamas Web Academy	Needs Improvement, Bottom Tier	Academically unacceptable	Level 2 = Falls between 5% and 15% of schools	Academically unacceptable	Level 2 = Falls between 5% and 15% of schools	Academically unacceptable	39.1	53.3	54.40
OR	Estacada Web Academy	Needs Improvement	Academically unacceptable	Level 2 = Falls between 5% and 15% of schools	Academically unacceptable	Level 2 = Falls between 5% and 15% of schools	Academically unacceptable	19.4	16.3	27.20
OR	Gresham-Barlow Web Academy	Needs Improvement, Bottom Tier; AYP not met	Academically unacceptable	Level 1 = Falls into the bottom 5% of schools	Academically unacceptable	Level 2 = Falls between 5% and 15% of schools	Academically unacceptable	20	26	32.90
OR	Oregon Virtual Education - West	Outstanding; Met AYP	Academically acceptable	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not rated due to its small size	Not rated	62.5	--	0.00
OR	Paisley School	Outstanding; Met AYP	Academically acceptable	Level 4 = Falls between 44% and 90% of schools	Academically acceptable	Level 4 = Falls between 44% and 90% of schools	Academically acceptable	62.5	43.8	71.40
OR	Sheridan AllPrep Academy	Needs Improvement	Academically unacceptable	Level 1 = Falls into the bottom 5% of schools	Academically unacceptable	Level 1 = Falls into the bottom 5% of schools	Academically unacceptable	0.00	5.60	6.70
OR	Silvies River Charter School	Needs Improvement	Academically unacceptable	Level 2 = Falls between 5% and 15% of schools	Academically unacceptable	Level 2 = Falls between 5% and 15% of schools	Academically unacceptable	26.70	10.50	40.90
OR	Insight School of Oregon	Not rated	Not rated	Not Rated	Not Rated	Level 1 = Falls into the bottom 5% of schools	Academically unacceptable	NA	NA	10.40
OR	Oregon Virtual Academy	Not rated	Not rated	Level 1 = Falls into the bottom 5% of schools	Academically unacceptable	Level 2 = Falls between 5% and 15% of schools	Academically unacceptable	NA	--	NA
PA	Commonwealth Connections Academy	Corrective Action II 2nd Year	Academically unacceptable	D-	Academically unacceptable	Academic Score: 52.2	Academically unacceptable	80.41	74.82	
PA	21st Century Cyber Charter School	Made AYP	Academically acceptable	D	Academically unacceptable	Academic Score: 66	Academically unacceptable	66	66.86	64.66
PA	Achievement House Cyber Charter School	Corrective Action II 2nd Year	Academically unacceptable	Not available	Not rated	Academic Score: 37.5	Academically unacceptable	42.31	48.77	
PA	ACT Academy Cyber CS	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not rated	Academic Score: 28.9	Academically unacceptable	NA	2.78	
PA	ASPIRA Bilingual Cyber Charter School	School Improvement I	Academically unacceptable	Not available	Not rated	Academic Score: 39	Academically unacceptable	NA	47.22	20.00
PA	Central Pennsylvania Digital Learning Foundation Charter School	School Improvement I, Did not Make AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not available	Not rated	Academic Score: 48.8	Academically unacceptable	32	40	42.42
PA	Education Plus Academy Cyber CS	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not rated	Academic Score: 50	Academically unacceptable	NA	NA	NA
PA	Esperanza Cyber CS	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not rated	Academic Score: 47.7	Academically unacceptable	NA	NA	NA
PA	PA Leadership Charter School	Making Progress: in Corrective Action II	Academically acceptable	C-	Academically unacceptable	Academic Score: 59.3	Academically unacceptable	88	91.34	86.48
PA	PA Virtual Charter School	Corrective Action II 1st Year	Academically unacceptable	C-	Academically unacceptable	Academic Score: 63.4	Academically unacceptable	66	72.94	72.98
PA	SusQ-Cyber Charter School	Corrective Action II 4th Year	Academically unacceptable	F	Academically unacceptable	Academic Score: 42.4	Academically unacceptable	32	NA	28.57
PA	The Pennsylvania Cyber Charter School	Warning, Did not Make AYP	Academically unacceptable	D+	Academically unacceptable	Academic Score: 55.5	Academically unacceptable	61	67.61	60.51
PA	Agora Cyber Charter School	Corrective Action II 3rd Year	Academically unacceptable	F	Academically unacceptable	Academic Score: 42.4	Academically unacceptable	49	44.22	50.43
PA	PA Distance Learning Charter School	Corrective Action II 3rd Year	Academically unacceptable	D-	Academically unacceptable	Academic Score: 50.9	Academically unacceptable	51	70.37	55.00
SC	South Carolina Connections Academy	At-Risk C	Academically unacceptable	Absolute rating: At-risk; Growth rating: Below avg; ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System: C	Academically unacceptable	Absoluting Rating: At risk; Growth Rating: Average	Academically unacceptable	34.5	30.9	30.9
SC	Provost Academy South Carolina	At-Risk F	Academically unacceptable	Absolute rating: At-risk; Growth rating: Below avg; ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System: C	Academically unacceptable	Absoluting Rating: At risk; Growth Rating: Average	Academically unacceptable	13.2	23.3	30.8
SC	South Carolina Calvert Academy	Average F	Academically unacceptable	Absolute rating: At-risk; Growth rating: Below avg; ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System: F	Academically unacceptable	Absoluting Rating: Below Average; Growth Rating: Average	Academically unacceptable	N/A	NA	NA
SC	Cyber Academy of South Carolina	At-Risk C	Academically unacceptable	Absolute rating: At-risk; Growth rating: Below avg; ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System: D	Academically unacceptable	Absoluting Rating: At risk; Growth Rating: NA	Academically unacceptable	17.8	24	NA
TN	Tennessee Virtual On-Line School	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not rated	NA	NA	NA
TN	Bradley County Virtual School	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available	22.2% of students scored proficient and advanced in 3-8 math assessments; 77.8% of students scored basic and below in 3-8 math assessments; 50% of total students scored proficient and advanced in 3-8 FIA assessments	Academically unacceptable	50	87	
TN	Robertson County Virtual School	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not Rated	Not available	Not rated	57.1	100	
TN	Tennessee Online Public School	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available	52.5% & 44.4% of students scored proficient and advanced in Algebra I & II; 70.7% & 77.7% of students scored proficient and advanced in English I & II;	Academically unacceptable	NA	NA	
TN	Hamilton County Virtual School	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not Rated	Not available	Not rated	0	20	
TN	Memphis Virtual School	Not available	Not available	Not available	Academically unacceptable	9.1% & 0% of students scored proficient and advanced in Algebra I & II; 36.4% & 16.7% of students scored proficient and advanced in English II & III;	Academically unacceptable	3.6	18.6	
TN	Metropolitan Nashville Virtual School	Corrective Action	Academically unacceptable	25% of students scored proficient and advanced in Algebra I; 83.4% students scored proficient and advanced in English II	Academically unacceptable	42.8% & 36.3% of students scored proficient and advanced in Algebra I & II; 88.3% & 59% of students scored proficient and advanced in English II & III;	Academically unacceptable	88.9	60	54.5

State	School Name	State Rating 2011-12	Rating Status 2011-12	State Rating 2012-13	Rating Status 2012-13	State Rating 2013-14	Rating Status 2013-14	Graduation Rate 2011	Graduation Rate 2012	Graduation Rate 2013
TN	Tennessee Virtual Academy (closed in 2015)	16.4% proficient in math and 39.3% proficient in reading	Academically unacceptable	23% of students scored proficient and advanced in 3-8 math assessments; 42.8% of total students scored proficient and advanced in 3-8 ELA assessments	Academically unacceptable	23.2% of students scored proficient and advanced in 3-8 math assessments; 42% of total students scored proficient and advanced in 3-8 ELA assessments	Academically unacceptable	NA	NA	NA
TX	Texas Connections Academy @ Houston	Not evaluated	Not rated	Met Standard: Student Achievement, Student Progress, Closing Performance Gaps, postsecondary readiness	Academically acceptable	Met Standards; Met Standards on student achievement, closing performance gaps, and postsecondary readiness	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	NA
TX	Advanced Virtual Academy-Houston	Not evaluated-Other	Not rated	Improvement Required; Met Student Achievement	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	NA	NA	NA
TX	Texarkana ISD Virtual Academy	Not rated	Not rated	Improvement Required; Met Student Achievement	Academically unacceptable	Met Standards; Met Standards on student achievement, student progress, closing performance gaps, and postsecondary readiness	Academically acceptable		NA	NA
UT	Utah Connections Academy	No report produced	Not rated	All Student Growth: 86 of 200; Below Proficient Growth: 54 of 100	Academically unacceptable	Overall Score: NA; Total Proficiency: 192/300; Growth: 144/300;	Academically unacceptable	NA	NA	
UT	Alianza Academy			All Student Growth: 68 of 200; Below Proficient Growth: 38 of 100	Academically unacceptable	D Grade; Overall Score: 298/600, 50%; Total Proficiency: 168/300; Growth: 130/300;	Academically unacceptable		NA	
UT	Mountain Heights Academy (formerly Open High School of Utah)	Made AYP	Academically acceptable	Not Available	Not Rated	C Grade; Overall Score: 497/750, 66%; Total Proficiency: 189/300; Growth: 231/300; College & Career Readiness: 77/150	Academically unacceptable	NA	52	45
UT	Utah Virtual Academy	Made AYP	Academically acceptable	All Student Growth: 68 of 200; Below Proficient Growth: 38 of 100	Academically unacceptable	F Grade; Overall Score: 363/750, 48%; Total Proficiency: 170/300; Growth: 151/300; College & Career Readiness: 42/150	Academically unacceptable	27	31	33
WA	Lewis River Academy-Woodland			AMO Summary: 51.7 proficiency in Reading (base year); 31.0 proficiency in Math (base year)	Not rated	Made AYP Overall: Yes; In Improvement: No	Academically acceptable			
WA	Marysville On-Line Move Up Program	In Improvement: No	Academically acceptable	AMO Summary: On/Above	Academically acceptable	Made AYP Overall: No; In Improvement: No	Academically unacceptable	27.1	24.1	
WA	Ck Online Academy	NA	Not rated	NA	Not Rated	Made AYP Overall: Yes; In Improvement: No	Academically acceptable			
WA	Eastmont Columbia Virtual Academy	In Improvement: No	Academically unacceptable	AMO Summary: Below	Academically unacceptable	Not available	Not rated	100	50	
WA	Federal Way Internet Academy			AMO Summary: Below proficiency target for all student groups in reading (63.4) & math (37.7)	Academically unacceptable	Made AYP Overall: No; In Improvement: Step 3	Academically unacceptable			
WA	Kelso Virtual Academy	Made AYP; In Improvement: No	Academically acceptable	AMO Summary: On/Above	Academically acceptable	Made AYP Overall: Yes; In Improvement: No	Academically acceptable	NA	40	
WA	Mid-Columbia Partnership Virtual School-Kennewick	In Improvement: No; 76.5 Reading Proficiency; 47.1 Math Proficiency	Academically acceptable	AMO Summary: On/Above	Academically acceptable	Made AYP Overall: No; In Improvement: No	Academically unacceptable	NA	100	
WA	Oasis K-12 (Orcas Island District)	AMO Summary: Below proficiency target for all student groups in reading & math	Academically unacceptable	AMO Summary: On/Above proficiency target for all student groups in reading; proficiency target for all student groups in math	Academically acceptable	Made AYP Overall: No; In Improvement: Step 2	Academically unacceptable			
WA	Olympia Regional Learning Academy (ORLA)	In Improvement: No	Academically acceptable	AMO Summary: On/Above	Academically acceptable	Made AYP Overall: No; In Improvement: No	Academically unacceptable	22.2	NA	
WA	Vancouver Virtual Learning Academy	Not rated	Not rated	Not rated	Not rated	Made AYP Overall: No; In Improvement: Step 2	Academically unacceptable			
WA	Insight School of Washington- Quillayute District	In Improvement: Step 3; 55.9 Reading Proficiency; 37.7 Math Proficiency	Academically unacceptable	AMO Summary: Below	Academically unacceptable	Made AYP Overall: No; In Improvement: Step 4	Academically unacceptable	19.1	19.1	
WA	Columbia Virtual Academy - Kettle Falls	In Improvement: Step 1; 8.2 Reading Proficiency; 2.4 Math Proficiency	Academically unacceptable	AMO Summary: Below	Academically unacceptable	Made AYP Overall: No; In Improvement: Step 2	Academically unacceptable	33.3	50	
WA	Columbia Virtual Academy-Cascade			NA	Not rated	Not available	Not rated		NA	
WA	Columbia Virtual Academy-Lopez					Made AYP Overall: Yes; In Improvement: No	Academically acceptable			
WA	Columbia Virtual Academy-Orient	In Improvement: No; 5.6 Reading Proficiency; 3.2 Math Proficiency	Academically unacceptable	AMO Summary: On/Above	Academically acceptable	Not available	Not rated	NA	NA	
WA	Columbia Virtual Academy-SCSD			AMO Summary: Below proficiency target for all student groups in reading & math	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated		NA	
WA	Columbia Virtual Academy-Valley	Not rated	Not rated	AMO Summary: Below proficiency target for all student groups in reading & math	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated			
WA	Freeman Columbia Virtual Academy	NA	Not rated	NA	Academically unacceptable	Not available	Not rated	66.7	NA	
WA	HomeLink/Columbia Virtual Academy-Walla Walla	Made AYP; In Improvement: No; 68.9 Reading Proficient; 42.2 Math Proficient	Academically acceptable	AMO Summary: On/Above	Academically acceptable	Made AYP Overall: No; In Improvement: No	Academically unacceptable	NA	NA	
WA	iQ Academy Washington	In Improvement Step 4; 66.0 Reading Proficiency; 34.4 Math Proficiency	Academically unacceptable	AMO Summary: Below	Academically unacceptable	Made AYP Overall: No; In Improvement: Step 5	Academically unacceptable	40	10	
WA	Onalaska Virtual School/CVA Onalaska	Made AYP; In Improvement: No	Academically acceptable	AMO Summary: On/Above	Academically acceptable	Made AYP Overall: Yes; In Improvement: No	Academically acceptable	12.5	12.5	
WA	Three Rivers Home Link	In Improvement: No; 63.8 Reading Proficiency; 38.3 Math Proficiency	Academically unacceptable	AMO Summary: On/Above	Academically unacceptable	Not available	Not rated	55.6	91.7	
WA	Washington Virtual Academy -Monroe	AMO Summary: Below proficiency target for all student groups in reading (66.8) & math (49.4)	Academically unacceptable	AMO Summary: Below	Academically unacceptable	Made AYP Overall: No; In Improvement: Step 4	Academically unacceptable		NA	
WA	Washington Virtual Academy Omak Elementary	In Improvement: No; 57.2 Reading Proficiency; 42.8 Math Proficiency	Academically unacceptable	AMO Summary: On/Above	Academically acceptable	Made AYP Overall: No; In Improvement: Step 1	Academically unacceptable	NA	NA	
WA	Washington Virtual Academy Omak High School	In Improvement: No; 52.5 Reading Proficiency; 35.1 Math Proficiency	Academically unacceptable	AMO Summary: Below	Academically unacceptable	Made AYP Overall: No; In Improvement: No	Academically unacceptable	NA	23.8	
WA	Washington Virtual Academy Omak Middle School	In Improvement: No; 53.1 Reading Proficiency; 34.2 Math Proficiency	Academically unacceptable	AMO Summary: On/Above	Academically acceptable	Made AYP Overall: No; In Improvement: Step 1	Academically unacceptable	22.2	NA	
WI	Wisconsin Connections Academy	Not rated	Academically acceptable	77.1; Exceeds Expectations	Academically acceptable	64.6; Meets Expectations	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	
WI	21st Century eSchool	Not rated	Not rated	NA	Not rated	64.6; Meets Expectations	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	
WI	Advanced Learning Academy of Wisconsin	Not rated	Not rated	NA	Not rated	AR; Alternate Rating- Satisfactory Progress	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	
WI	Appleton eSchool	Not rated	Not rated	NA	Not rated	AR; Alternate Rating- Satisfactory Progress	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	

State	School Name	State Rating 2011-12	Rating Status 2011-12	State Rating 2012-13	Rating Status 2012-13	State Rating 2013-14	Rating Status 2013-14	Graduation Rate 2011	Graduation Rate 2012	Graduation Rate 2013
WI	Bridges Virtual Academy/Charter School		NA		Not rated	65.4; Meets Expectations	Academically acceptable			
WI	Cameron Academy of Virtual Education (CAVE)	Not rated	Not rated	NA	Not rated	70.3; Meets Expectations	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	
WI	CRE8 Charter School	Not rated	Not rated	68.8	Academically acceptable	75.6; Exceeds Expectations	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	
WI	George D. Warriner High School for Personalized Learning	Not rated	Not rated	NA	Not rated	AR; Alternate Rating- Satisfactory Progress	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	
WI	George D. Warriner Middle School	Not rated	Not rated	67.6; Meets Expectations	Academically acceptable	69; Meets Expectations	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	
WI	Gillett's Occupation and Leadership (GOAL)	Not rated	Not rated	NA	Not rated	73.8; Exceeds Expectations	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	
WI	Hayward Center for Individualized Learning	Meets Expectations, 72.2/100	Academically acceptable	73; Exceeds Expectations	Academically acceptable	70.4; Meets Expectations	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	
WI	HACL Virtual Charter School	Not rated	Not rated	NA	Not rated	AR; Alternate Rating- Satisfactory Progress	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	
WI	Island City Virtual Academy	Not rated	Not rated	NA	Not rated	AR; Alternate Rating- Satisfactory Progress	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	
WI	Janesville Virtual Academy	Not rated	Not rated	NA	Not rated	AR; Alternate Rating- Satisfactory Progress	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	
WI	JEDI Virtual High School	Not rated	Not rated	NA	Not rated	AR; Alternate Rating- Satisfactory Progress	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	
WI	Kenosha eSchool	Not rated	Not rated	NA	Not rated	AR; Alternate Rating- Satisfactory Progress	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	
WI	KM Global Charter School for Leadership and Innovation	Not rated	Not rated	NA	Not rated	AR; Alternate Rating- Satisfactory Progress	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	
WI	Link2Learn Virtual Charter School	Not rated	Not rated	NA	Not rated	AR; Alternate Rating- Satisfactory Progress	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	
WI	Milwaukee Community Cyber (MC2) High School	Not rated	Not rated	NA	Not rated	35; Fails to Meet Expectations	Academically unacceptable	NA	NA	
WI	Monroe Independent Virtual Charter High School	58.6; Meets Few Expectations	Academically acceptable	58.6; Meets Few Expectations	Academically acceptable	AR; Alternate Rating- Needs Improvement	Academically unacceptable	NA	63.1	
WI	Monroe Virtual Middle School	Not rated	Not rated	NA	Not rated	AR; Alternate Rating- Satisfactory Progress	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	
WI	Portage Virtual School (closed in 2014)	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not Rated	AR; Alternate Rating- Satisfactory Progress	Academically acceptable			
WI	Rural Virtual Academy	Fails to Meet Expectations, 50/100	Academically unacceptable	NA	Not rated	67.6; Meets Expectations	Academically acceptable	NA	NA	
WI	Wauwatosa Virtual Academy					AR; Alternate Rating- Satisfactory Progress	Academically acceptable			
WI	iForward (formerly Insight School of Wisconsin)	Fails to Meet Expectations, 42.9/100	Academically unacceptable	NA	Not rated	47.7; Fails to Meet Expectations	Academically unacceptable	22	36.5	
WI	Beloit Virtual School	Not rated	Not rated	NA	Not rated	AR; Alternate Rating- Satisfactory Progress	Academically acceptable		NA	
WI	Wisconsin Virtual Learning (WVL)	Meets Few Expectations, 651/100	Academically acceptable	61; Meets Few Expectations	Academically acceptable	64.4; Meets Expectations	Academically acceptable	54.1	83.90	
WI	iQ Academy Wisconsin/ eAchieve Academy	Meets Expectations, 67/100	Academically acceptable	58.4; Meets Few Expectations	Academically acceptable	48; Fails to Meet Expectations	Academically unacceptable	NA	75.8	
WY	Wyoming Connections Academy (WyCA)	68.88 Proficient and Advanced in Math; 62 Proficient and Advanced in Reading;	Academically acceptable	NA	Not Rated	NA	Not Rated			
WY	Wyoming Virtual Academy (WyVA)	67.98 Proficient and Advanced in Math; 75.56 Proficient and Advanced in Reading;	Academically acceptable	NA	Not Rated	NA	Not Rated			
WY	Campbell County Virtual School (CCVS)	Not rated	Not rated	NA	Not Rated	NA	Not Rated	NA		
WY	Wyoming e-Academy of Virtual Education (WeAVE)	28.57% Proficient and Advanced in Math	Academically unacceptable	NA	Not Rated	NA	Not Rated			

*Notes for Appendices B, C, and D

The schools detailed in this study and these appendices are limited to full-time, public elementary and secondary virtual public schools in the United States. Included are virtual schools operated by for-profit EMOs and those operated by states or districts; excluded are virtual schools funded by private money. Also excluded are schools offering a combination of full-time online virtual programs, hybrid/blended programs, and supplemental programs—unless it was possible to tease out data for only the full-time virtual school component.

To help build our inventory of full-time virtual schools by state, we drew on two publications notable for providing information on K-12 online schools nationally and related series of profiles of education management companies: *Keeping Pace With K–12 Digital Learning: An Annual Review of Policy and Practice* (Watson, Pape, Murin, Gemin, & Vashaw, 2014), published each fall by the Evergreen Education Group; and, *Profiles of For-Profit and Nonprofit Education Management Organizations: Fourteenth Annual Report—2011-2012* (Miron & Gulosino, 2013), released by the National Education Policy Center.

Criteria for school selection included: a) a unique school ID code assigned by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES); b) for relatively new schools, a unique building or school ID code assigned by the state’s education agency; c) a minimum student enrollment of ten; and d) a school assessment providing descriptive information, including information on school performance.

2014-15 Adequate Yearly Progress Status and State Performance Ratings

Like brick-and-mortar schools, full-time virtual schools are evaluated annually for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The AYP definition is based on expectations for growth in student achievement. The performance standards must increase over time until they reach 100 percent in 2013–14. AYP is thus obtained for full-time virtual schools. The AYP rating takes into account the following components: state reading and mathematics assessment results; state reading and mathematics assessment participation rates; attendance rates for elementary and middle schools; and graduation rates for secondary schools. California, Iowa, and Washington are the only three states with full-time virtual schools that continue to report results based primarily on AYP.

Forty two states and the District of Columbia have successfully obtained waivers of the current AYP calculations and performance targets in order to focus on robust accountability systems that meet the intent and purposes of the ESEA statute. Such states have introduced growth measures in their states’ grading or rating categories. The resulting performance ratings obtained from these states reflects the new accountability system.

It should be noted, however, that state rating categories vary considerably. Some assign a letter grade, or calculate a composite performance index score for overall academic performance, while others provide a mixture of growth, performance, and post-secondary readiness and success. Often, state ratings are based on the use of multiple measures, with some states like Colorado deriving their ratings based on the calculation of student growth percentiles (a growth model) as well as a calculation of adequate growth (or growth-to-proficiency). Below are links to state accountability systems:

Alaska

<http://education.alaska.gov/stats/>

Schools are assigned a ranking based on the total number of percentage points earned on the Alaska School Performance Index (ASPI) out of a possible 100. Based on the percentage of overall points earned, schools received one of five star ratings: 5 stars (94-100%); 4 stars (85-93.99%); 3 stars (65-84.99%); 2 stars (55-64.99%); and 1 star (0-54.99%). School performance ratings are based on multiple measures of academic performance: academic achievement, school progress, attendance rate, graduation rate, and college and career readiness.

No Longer Profiled School(s): Delta Cyber School- closed at the end of school year 2011-12.

Arkansas

<https://adesrc.arkansas.gov/>

Arkansas schools received one of five performance ratings: Exemplary; Achieving; Needs improvement; Needs improvement focus; and Needs improvement priority. All schools are required to meet Annual Measurable Objectives, or yearly targets, designed to reduce the proficiency, growth and graduation gaps.

Arizona

<https://www.azreportcards.org/>

Arizona's A-F letter grade system evaluates both academic outcomes and academic growth.

No Longer Profiled School(s): Little Colorado River Program (Too Few Students); Gilbert Global Academy High School; Sequoia Choice School Arizona Distance Learning Sc

California

<http://www.cde.ca.gov/re/sd/>

California's accountability system measures the performance and progress of a school that are based on results of statewide tests at grades two through twelve. A school's Base and Growth Academic Performance Index (API) is a composite number representing the results of these tests. AYP results are also reported.

No Longer Profiled School(s): California Virtual Academy at Kern (closed 2012); Golden State Virtual Academy (formerly Mt. Whitney Virtual Academy) (closed 2012); Kaplan Academy of California - North Central Calif; Kaplan Academy of California - San Diego; Kaplan Academy of California - San Francisco Bay; Choice 2000 Online (closed 2013-06-30); Modesto Virtual Academy (closed 2011)

Colorado

https://edx.cde.state.co.us/SchoolView/DataCenter/reports.jspx?_afrWindowMode=0&_afrLoop=357100991066306&_adf.ctrl-state=70qf7p218_4

Schools are assigned a ranking based on the total number of percentage points earned on the School Performance Framework (also known as the Colorado Growth Model) out of a possible 100. Based on the percentage of overall points earned, Colorado schools/districts received one of five performance ratings: distinguished (80-100%); meets expectations (51-79%); accredited

with improvement plan (40-50%); accredited with priority improvement (34-39%); and accredited with turnaround plan (up to 33%). School performance ratings are based on multiple measures of academic performance: academic growth, academic proficiency, academic growth gaps, and post-secondary and workforce readiness.

No Longer Profiled School(s): Buena Vista Online Academy (closed 2013); Futures Digital Academy (no students in last 2 yrs - all missing data); Elevate Academy (no sch ID, no enrollments, no data)

District of Columbia

<http://osse.dc.gov/service/data>

<http://www.learnDC.org/schoolprofiles/view#reportcard>

Schools are assigned to an accountability system that includes multiple measures to evaluate performance and student growth. These measures include the DC CAS, annual growth, graduation rates, attendance rates and participation rates. Each school is given a School Index Score based on the performance of its students. Schools receive varying levels of support, resources, flexibility and monitoring based on their classification (Reward, Rising, Developing, Focus, and Priority).

Florida

<http://doeweb-prd.doe.state.fl.us/eds/nclbpar/index.cfm>

<http://schoolgrades.fldoe.org/>

Florida's school accountability system relies on a performance index to assign each school an A-to-F letter grade. Each school report card also contains several types of data (i.e., AMOs; progress of the lowest performing 25% of students) to inform parents and the general public about the progress of Florida's public schools.

Georgia

<https://gosa.georgia.gov/downloadable-data>

College and Career Readiness Performance Index (CCRPI) is Georgia's new school accountability measure that assigns schools and districts scores, up to 100 points, to report to the public how well they are preparing students for college and the workforce in comparison to other schools in the state. CCRPI uses the student growth percentile (SGP) data and other measures to provide an overall indication of school and district effectiveness. An SGP score is derived by comparing a student's growth on the test to the growth made by that student's academic peers (students from around the state with similar score histories). This comparative change in achievement is reported on a 1 to 99 scale.

Idaho

<https://www.sde.idaho.gov/site/assessment/accountability.htm>

Idaho's school accountability system relies on a five-star rating system to assign each school an overall score ranging from 0-100. Under the new system, the state uses measures such as academic growth, graduation rates and indicators of success in college and the workforce.

Indiana

<http://www.doe.in.gov/idoedata>

Indiana's school accountability system relies on a performance index to assign each school an A-to-F letter grade.

No Longer Profiled School(s): Hoosier Academy - Muncie (closed 2013)

Iowa

https://www.educateiowa.gov/education-statistics#State_Report_Cards

Iowa's accountability system requires all school districts/schools to annually demonstrate improvement towards the state's annual goals in reading and mathematics. To be deemed proficient schools must earn a standard score that meets or exceeds the state-designated standard score.

Kansas

<http://svapp15586.ksde.org/k12/k12.aspx>

Schools are awarded points based on the state's new accountability system (Assessment Performance Index or API). The API relies on multiple indicators: academic performance, academic growth, proficiency gap reduction and reduction in the percentage of students scoring below proficiency.

No Longer Profiled School(s): Abilene Virtual School (closed 2013); Hugoton Learning Academy; Pleasantview Academy Grade School (06/30/2013); Pleasantview Academy High School (06/30/2013); Kansas Career and Technical Virtual School (no data); Turning Point Learning Center (hybrid/blended school)

Massachusetts

<http://www.doe.mass.edu/apa/accountability/>

Massachusetts' new accountability system relies on a 100-point performance and performance index (PPI) aimed at narrowing proficiency gaps. The PPI is based on multiple indicators: narrowing proficiency gaps in ELA, mathematics, and science; growth in ELA and mathematics; annual dropout rates; and cohort graduation rates.

Michigan

<http://www.michigan.gov/cepi/0,4546,7-113-58069---,00.html>

Schools are assigned a ranking based on the total number of percentage points earned on the Michigan Scorecard Colors and Points System. Based on the percentage of overall points earned, schools/districts are rated with a five-color scale: Green – attain 85% or greater of possible points; Lime – attain at least 70% but less than 85% of possible points; Yellow – attain at least 60% but less than 70% of possible points; Orange – attain at least 50% but less than 60% of possible points; and Red – attain less than 50% of possible points.

No Longer Profiled School(s): Hale Way Cyber School Program (closed 2012); Eaton Rapids Virtual School (closed 2013)

Minnesota

<http://minncan.org/report-cards/>

School performance ratings (Minnesota's new Multiple Measurement Rating or MMR system) are based on multiple measures of academic performance: proficiency; student growth, and achievement gap reduction, and graduation rate.

No Longer Profiled School(s): BlueSky Charter School (closed); Minnesota Center for Online Learning (credit recovery) (too few students)

New Hampshire

<http://my.doe.nh.gov/profiles/profile.aspx?s=28605&year=2014>

New Hampshire's schools are assigned to an accountability category based on overall achievement and progress in closing achievement gaps.

Nevada

<http://www.nevadareportcard.com/di/>

Accountability information for each school (and the district) including state achievement information on Nevada's state-mandated assessments is based on the "Nevada School Performance Framework." School performance is based on the absolute proficiency rates of its students (the passing rates on standardized tests) and their relative "growth" from year to year (how much students improved on tests from year to year). Schools are assigned a ranking within a five-star performance rating system.

No Longer Profiled School(s): I Can Do Anything Charter High School (hybrid/blended school)

Ohio

<http://reportcard.education.ohio.gov/Pages/School-Search.aspx>

An overall letter grade for the school or school district is calculated based on components/categories: achievement, progress, gap closing, graduation rate, K-3 literacy and postsecondary readiness. The six components/categories are considered collectively to determine the school and school district ratings.

No Longer Profiled School(s): Kent Digital Academy (closed 2011); Lorain High School Digital (hybrid/blended school)

Oklahoma

<http://www.ok.gov/sde/accountability-state-testing-results>

<http://www.ok.gov/sde/documents/2014-02-13/state-student-public-enrollment-2013>

Oklahoma's school accountability system relies on a performance index to assign each school an A-to-F letter grade in each of the following four categories: overall achievement on all state assessments, progress/growth in reading and math for all students, progress/growth in reading and math for the lowest-performing 25 percent of students, and whole school performance.

Oregon

<http://www.ode.state.or.us/search/results/?id=116>

In Oregon, schools are eligible for Model, Focus or Priority status. Schools are assigned to an accountability category (Levels 1 to 4) based on overall achievement and progress in closing

achievement gaps. The new accountability system is based on multiple measures: academic achievement, academic growth, subgroup growth, and (for high schools) graduation and subgroup graduation rates.

No Longer Profiled School(s): Oregon Virtual Education - East (too few students); Molalla Online High School (no enrollment after 2009; closed)

Pennsylvania

http://www.portal.state.pa.us/portal/server.pt/community/enrollment/7407/public_school_enrollment_reports/620541

Schools are evaluated on achievement using the Pennsylvania System of School Assessment (PSSA), participation in those tests, and on attendance or graduation rate. The PSSA formula ranks schools/districts based on three years of state standardized test scores, giving the most weight to the current year.

No Longer Profiled Schools: Frontier Virtual Charter High School (closed 2012); PA LEARNERS Online Regional Cyber Charter School (closed 2013); ADVANCED VIRTUAL ACADEMY AT SCARBOROUGH CHARTER (closed 2011)

South Carolina

https://ed.sc.gov/data/student-counts/Student_Headcounts/ActiveStudentHeadcounts.cfm
South Carolina's school accountability system relies on a performance index to assign each school an A-to-F letter grade ranging from 0-100.

No Longer Profiled School(s): Palmetto State E-cademy (closed)

Tennessee

http://www.tn.gov/education/data/download_data.shtml

Tennessee uses assessment data to measure student growth through the Tennessee Value-Added Assessment System, or TVAAS. School performance is measured by the percentage of students meeting annual targets. Schools are held accountable for meeting: a) achievement targets based on graduation rates and student proficiency in reading, mathematics and science; and b) achievement gap closure targets that aim to narrow gaps among several student subgroup comparisons.

Texas

<http://ritter.tea.state.tx.us/perfreport/account/2014/srch.html?srch=C>

<http://ritter.tea.state.tx.us/perfreport/account/2013/srch.html?srch=C>

<http://ritter.tea.state.tx.us/adhocrpt/adste.html>

An index score between zero and 100 is calculated for each of the four indices: student achievement, student progress, closing performance gaps, and postsecondary readiness. The four index scores are considered collectively to determine the school and school district ratings.

Utah

<http://www.schools.utah.gov/assessment/reports.aspx>

Schools earn A-F grades for their performance and progress on a variety of student outcome measures including: proficiency, overall student growth, growth of non-proficient students, and high school graduation rates.

Washington

<http://reportcard.ospi.k12.wa.us/summary.aspx?year=2012-13>

Washington's new accountability system (State Achievement Index) combines measures of student growth and proficiency creating a snapshot of a school's performance based on statewide assessments.

No Longer Profiled School(s): Washington Virtual Academy (WAVA) (contract not renewed)

Wisconsin

<http://reportcards.dpi.wi.gov/>

Wisconsin schools receive one of five accountability ratings based on an overall score that result from performance in the following indicators: student achievement; student growth; closing gaps in performance between specific student groups; and post-secondary readiness.

Wyoming

<http://edu.wyoming.gov/educators/accountability/>

Wyoming's new school accountability system (Wyoming Accountability in Education [WAEA]) uses multiple measures of school performance (i.e., student achievement, student growth, achievement gaps, and readiness for success after high school). Schools are assigned a ranking within a four performance rating system: Exceeding expectations, meeting expectations, partially meeting expectations, and not meeting expectations.

No Longer Profiled School(s): Hybrid/blended schools- Hawaii Technology Academy - Pcs; Myron B. Thompson Academy; Youth Connection Charter School Virtual High School; Chicago Virtual Charter School

State Rating

Based on a full-time virtual school's performance on AYP or new state accountability system created through NCLB waivers, a full-time virtual school is assigned one of three possible rankings: Academically Acceptable (the highest possible ranking), Academically Unacceptable (the lowest possible ranking), and in rare instances, the category Not Rated is used.

Graduation rate

Individual school graduation rates are obtained from state education agencies and key state contacts. This report adopts the most widely-used measure of school grade point for the high school graduation rate, namely, "On Time Graduation Rate." This measure is based on a count of students who enter the system, and then follows the students' progress in school. Thus, "on-time graduates" are graduates who earn diplomas within four years of entering the 9th grade. The total possible points that can be awarded for the graduation rate component is 100 points.

Other Variables

Enrollment: The enrollment figures are collected according to grade and racial/ethnic group. This variable provides a measure of school size (i.e. the number of students enrolled), the school's grade organization, and its racial/ethnic composition.